Stretton Grandison NDP

First Draft Plan - Consultation Responses and Agreed Changes to the NDP

NDP Reference	Consultation Response	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
	(Updated September 2019)		
Policies maps: Maps 2- 6	A: Development concentrated on	The preference was clearly for smaller	Review and update p23-24
P23-24 Development Strategy	large sites	sites. The development strategy	Development Strategy.
Draft Policy SG2 Site Allocations	Votes: 44	should therefore focus on	
	Or	development spread across several	Policy SG2 to be revised following
	B: Development spread across	smaller sites rather than on one or	consideration of responses to each
	smaller sites	two large sites.	site option.
	Votes: 71		
		However it may be that a smaller part	
		of one of the larger sites also could be	
		identified for housing - see below.	
Policies maps: Maps 2- 6	Large Sites:	There is a need to plan for an	Allocate sites 1, 5, 6 and 10.
P23-24 Development Strategy	Site 11 Points: 111	indicative target of at least 14 new	
Draft Policy SG2 Site Allocations	Site 12 Points: 127	houses over the Plan period.	
	Site 13** Points: 167		
		The highest scoring sites were all	
	Small Sites:	smaller sites apart from site 13, which	
	Site 1 Points: 198	had a higher score than site 10.	
	Site 5 Points: 259		
	Site 6 Points: 228	At the Steering Group meeting on	
	Site 10 Points: 146	18th September 2019 it was	
		recommended that Sites 1, 5, 6	
		should be brought forward into the	
		Draft Plan and would together	
		provide around 13 new houses.	

NDP Reference	Consultation Response	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
	(Updated September 2019)		
		Site 10 had a lower score than the	
		larger site, Site 13. However the	
		Steering Group noted the local	
		preference for smaller sites and	
		decided to allocate Site 10 which	
		would provide a further 2 houses.	
		In addition there is likely to be further	
		windfall development in the Parish	
		over the Plan period, based on	
		applications that have come forward	
		in recent years.	
Policies maps: Maps 2- 6	Q3: Do you support Policy SG1	The settlement boundaries were	Revise Maps 2-6, retaining the
	Settlement Boundaries:	largely supported and should be	settlement boundaries but revising
Draft Policy SG1 Settlement	Yes: 86	retained, with the site allocations	to include only the proposed site
Boundaries	No: 29	included.	allocations apart from Map 4 where further revisions were agreed.
		Further revisions to Map 4 were	
		recommended at the SG meeting on	
		18th September 2019.	
Draft Policy SG3 Affordable	Do you support Policy SG3	Support noted - retain policy.	Retain Policy SG3
Housing at Canon Frome Court	Affordable Housing at Canon Frome Court?		
	Yes: 97		
	No: 20		
	NO: 20		
Draft Policy SG4 Housing Mix	Q5: Do you support Policy SG4	Support noted - retain policy.	Retain Policy SG4
	Housing Mix?		
	Yes: 94		
	No: 17		

NDP Reference	Consultation Response (Updated September 2019)	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
Draft Policy SG5 Protecting Local Landscape Character & Wildlife	Q6: Do you support Policy SG5 Protecting Local Landscape Character & Wildlife? Yes: 110 No: 4	Support noted - retain policy.	Retain Policy SG5
Draft Policy SG6 Design Guidelines for Stretton Grandison Cons'n Area	Q7 Do you support Policy Design Guidelines for Stretton Grandison Cons'n Area? Yes: 104 No: 10	Support noted - retain policy.	Retain Policy SG6
Draft Policy SG7 Design Principles – Protecting & Enhancing Heritage & Local Character	Q8 Do you support Policy SG7 Design Principles – Protecting & Enhancing Heritage & Local Character? Yes: 103 No: 2	Support noted - retain policy.	Retain Policy SG7
Draft Policy SG8 Design Principles – Promoting High Quality & Sustainable Design	Q9 Do you support Policy SG8 Design Principles – Promoting High Quality & Sustainable Design? Yes: 100 No: 14	Support noted - retain policy.	Retain Policy SG8
Draft Policy SG9 Re-use of Former Agricultural Buildings for Local Economic Development	Q10 Do you support Policy SG9 Reuse of Former Agricultural Buildings for Local Economic Development? Yes: 105 No: 9	Support noted - retain policy.	Retain Policy SG9

NDP Reference	Consultation Response (Updated September 2019)	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
Draft Policy SG10 New Agricultural Buildings & Poly Tunnels	Q11 Do you support Policy SG10 New Agricultural Buildings & Poly Tunnels? Yes: 72 No: 38	Support noted - retain policy.	Retain Policy SG10
Draft Policy SG11 Community- Led Renewable Energy Schemes	Q12 Do you support Policy SG11 Community-Led Renewable Energy Schemes? Yes: 104 No: 7	Support noted - retain policy.	Retain Policy SG11
Draft Policy SG12 Reducing Flood Risk	Do you support Policy SG12 Reducing Flood Risk? Yes: 105 No: 9	Support noted - retain policy.	Retain Policy SG12

Proposed Site Allocations and Policies	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
-	Site 13: [The most preferred large site but planning & owners intentions to be clarified] "Site 13 is the one location where affordable housing is written in" (3) "Site 13 is already a largish community with amenities such as shop, pub, restaurant etc and good transport links." (23) "Site 13 has good main road access in a speed controlled area" (7) "Would have low visual impact" (3) "Is the most sensible of the larger sites" (2) "Is not prone to flooding" "More housing could enhance the possibility of better community	There was a level of support for this site and comments are largely positive. If the site is included as a site allocation the land ownership issues would need to be resolved and consideration given to only part of the site being developed for new housing. If the proposed site allocation is for 10 or more houses the policy could require affordable housing to be provided. At the Steering Group Meeting on 18th September 2019 it was recommended that the site should not go forward as a site allocation as several smaller sites were preferred overall and the indicative housing target could be met from several smaller sites. Affordable housing will be supported at Cannon Frome Court in the NDP.	Site 13 is not included in the Reg 14 Draft Plan as a site allocation.
	facilities."		

Proposed Site Allocations and	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
Policies			
	"Would have low impact on the existing character."		
	Frome's Hill is by far the most appropriate (large) site. There are already more recently built houses across the main road, so new buildings would fit in better & would house more customers for the existing businesses.		
Smaller sites - General	General Comments:	National Planning Policy and	None proposed - most matters are
Comments		Herefordshire Core Strategy set out	addressed in the NDP policies.
	"Why can't affordable housing be	that affordable housing can only be	
	made a planning criterion for small	required on sites for 11 or more	
	sites?"	housing.	
	(6)		
	" C	The AECOM Report was prepared and	
	"Several brownfield sites have been	funded under a national programme	
	ruled out inappropriately"	of technical support for NDPs and should provide a robust evidence	
	"(AECOM) site assessments do not	base for the allocation of technically	
	meet reasonable standards"	suitable sites.	
	"Maximum site size should be 6	Where sites would impact on local	
	houses."	heritage, NDP policies (and national	
		and Herefordshire Council Policies)	
	"Sites with impact on Heritage Assets	should help to protect and enhance	
	should be avoided."	the heritage assets by requiring	
		sensitive high quality design. Site	

#The smaller sites would have less visual impact." (3) conservation area will have to consider their impacts and be designed accordingly. TPOs should protect trees in the conservation amenities." (2) Amenities are limited or non-existent across most of the identified sites." (2) sets out which settlements should be the force for nou-board and the conservation across most of the identified sets out which settlements should be the force for nou-board and the conservation across most of the identified sets out which settlements should be	
visual impact." (3) conservation area will have to consider their impacts and be designed accordingly. TPOs should protect trees in the conservation amenities." (2) Amenities are limited or non-existent across most of the identified sites." settlements but the Core Strategy (2) sets out which settlements should be	
(3) consider their impacts and be designed accordingly. TPOs should protect trees in the conservation area. (2) Amenities are limited or non-existent across most of the identified settlements but the Core Strategy (2) sets out which settlements should be	
designed accordingly. TPOs should protect trees in the conservation area. (2) Amenities are limited or non-existent across most of the identified settlements but the Core Strategy (2) sets out which settlements should be	
"The smaller sites have no amenities." (2) Amenities are limited or non-existent across most of the identified sites." (3) settlements but the Core Strategy sets out which settlements should be	
amenities." (2) Amenities are limited or non-existent across most of the identified sites." (2) settlements but the Core Strategy sets out which settlements should be	
(2) Amenities are limited or non-existent across most of the identified sites." settlements but the Core Strategy sets out which settlements should be	
"All small sites are better than big sites." (2) Amenities are limited or non-existent across most of the identified settlements but the Core Strategy sets out which settlements should be	
"All small sites are better than big sites." (2) across most of the identified settlements but the Core Strategy sets out which settlements should be	
sites." settlements but the Core Strategy sets out which settlements should be	
(2) sets out which settlements should be	
the focus for new housing	
"The proposals are reasonable infill" development.	
(3)	
New development will have to	
"Need to take account of tree provide safe and suitable access onto	
preservation orders in Stretton the highway.	
Grandison."	
Design policies in the NDP should	
"The better transport links are on the help to ensure new housing responds	
main(A4103) road, rather than to local character.	
creating hazards on the Canon Frome	
road." (2)	
"Any new build should complement	
existing housing".	
(2)	
\\^2\	
" The quality of our parishes is based	
on the integration of various ages of	
buildings and not being dominated	

Proposed Site Allocations and Policies	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
	by large modern estate, as has resulted in Bartestree and other local communities."		
	"Canon Frome is already a fully developed estate".		
	"Housing spread across small sites would be a better quality: have seen too many boxes put up by large companies recently in this area."		
	"The road through Stretton Grandison is dangerous; extra houses generate extra traffic."		
	"Stretton Grandison is not big enough to take any more properties."		
Site 1	Site 1: "Site 1 is on the A 417 with already high volumes of speeding traffic." (9)	Access points noted. Heritage points noted.	The site allocation should include criteria addressing: - access - sensitive design - location adjoining conservation area and listed buildings - refer to
	"Site 1 has good main road access in a speed controlled area." (7)		conservation area policy and need to protect setting of nearby listed buildings - flooding

Proposed Site Allocations and Policies	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
ronces	"Site 1 has the least visual impact on the heart of the village." (2) "More development on site 1 seems overdue." (2) "Development here should be in keeping with surrounding rural style properties – not affordable housing." "This site is NOT infill. It is between listed buildings and adjacent to conservation/heritage buildings (3) "Stretton Grandison is already densely developed, with traffic problems." "Too near main road."		
Site 5	Site 5: "Is not on A 417 therefore safer." (2) "Has limited access on a busy road with no passing places." (3)	Access points noted. The site is too small to require affordable housing (less than 11). Criteria could include screening using tree planting. Sustainable design is supported in Policy SG8.	The site allocation should include criteria addressing: - access - screening

Proposed Site Allocations and Policies	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
	"Includes affordable housing." (9)		
	"Could easily be screened by tree planting." (4)		
	"Development intended as eco homes."		
	"There is already modern development in Canon Frome, so this would not be detrimental."		
	"Development not large enough to cause traffic problems." (4)		
	"Already has road access." (4)		
Site 6	Site 6: "Is not on A 417 therefore safer." (4)	Access points noted. The site makes a logical extension to existing built form and would not cause over development.	The site allocation should include criteria addressing: - access - design
	"Has limited access along a busy road with no passing places." (4)		
	"Does not complement existing housing."		

Proposed Site Allocations and Policies	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
	"Would join up existing housing forming too big a mass." (3)		
	"Not large enough to create traffic problems."		
	"Already has road access." (5)		
	"Consider that site 6 would be overdeveloped."		
	"There is already modern development in Canon Frome, so this would not be detrimental."		
	"Site is not suitable for 6 dwellings."		
Site 10	Site 10: "Is close to a dangerous junction on the A 417 with traffic volume and speed problems." (13) "has limited access onto a road with no passing places."	Access points noted. Heritage / design points noted. Wildlife points noted. The presence of a sceptic tank and associated problems were noted by members of the public at the Steering Group meeting on 18th September 2019. Development should provide	The Site allocation should include criteria addressing: - access - wildlife - sensitivity to heritage assets - conservation area / loss of important open space and listed buildings
	(3)	an opportunity to resolve this issue by	Also:

Proposed Site Allocations and	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
Policies			
	"Is in a conservation area so would be expensive to build sympathetically." (10)	provision of a new tank to replace the existing one.	- sceptic tank removal and reprovision to serve existing households.
	"Has good main road access."		
	"Has the septic tank for Hopton Cottages." (5)		
	"Has masses of wildlife." (3)		
	"Is the habitat for a protected species of newt." (2)		
	"Has limited access."		
	"Would be overdeveloped at the proposed density."		
	"Stretton Grandison has listed buildings, heritage assets, a conservation area and is already densely developed, together with traffic problems."		
	"Development on Site 10 would completely change the whole look of		

Proposed Site Allocations and	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
Policies			
	Stretton Grandison , to the detriment		
	of the village." 2 instances		
	"Site 10 should be for 2 houses		
	maximum BUT would affect		
D. II. 6046 III.	neighbouring property values."	All it is for it is	
Policy SG 1 Settlement	"Why is Site 11 in the plan although	All the sites for consideration and	Add further text to point 2 eg "and
Boundaries	outside the settlement boundary?"	consultation were included in the	designs are sensitive to local context
	(3)	Draft Plan settlement boundaries but these will be revised once the site	and heritage."
	"Development of Site 11 would be	allocations are agreed.	
	out of scale with the rest of Stretton	allocations are agreed.	
	Grandison"	The settlement boundaries were	
	(2	proposed following site visits,	
	(-	consideration of the extent of existing	
	"Sites 1 & 12 conflict with SG1 Point	built form and following guidance	
	3: no safe access onto the 'A' roads	from Herefordshire Council.	
	unless traffic calming structures built.		
	Speed limits are not enforced. Sites	Comments about particular sites will	
	10 & 11 have exits onto the busy,	be considered in the context of the	
	high speed C1153, (Newton Cross	sites above.	
	bypass!) and are much too close to		
	the dangerous junction with A 417.	Steering Group checked and provided	
	C1153 was originally a gated road	revisions to:	
	and is not suitable as a rural through	Map 4 - Canon Frome and Canon	
	route. Housing on Site 11 wood	Frome Court	
	reduce food growing leading to more	- Map 4 Rochester House	
	food imports."		
	(2)	Points 1 and 2 are not necessarily in	
		conflict but a further sentence to	
		could be added to 2 eg "where	

Proposed Site Allocations and Policies	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
	"Traffic restrictions and speed monitoring on A 417 also required."	designs are sensitive to local context and heritage".	
	"Site 11 would have a negative influence on the Conservation Area and the Grade 1 listed church." (4)	Point 1 is not old fashioned. It is important to protect local character as well as to promote sustainable design and low carbon technologies.	
	"[Re Site 11] The opinion of the heritage and landscape officers at Hereford Council should be sought."	Policies should not duplicate other policies in the plan.	
	"Site 10 is in the Conservation Area" (4)	Planning policies cannot require communication technologies to be provided in existing buildings.	
	"Settlement Boundaries seem arbitrary"		
	Settlement Boundaries appear to have no objective criteria" (2)		
	"Canon Frome settlement boundary as drawn is inconsistent."		
	"Canon Frome Court boundary is incorrect."		
	"Rochester House boundary, Map 4, should include the garden." (2)		

Proposed Site Allocations and Policies	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
	"Boundaries should include provisions for biodiversity protection and wildlife corridors."		
	"Don't want any more houses in Canon Frome."		
	"Points 1 & 2 are in conflict when aiming modern design/technologies to be sympathetic to rural locations. Should be clarified."		
	"Point 1 is old fashioned. Climate change is the enemy; zero carbon footprint should be the main concern."		
	"Suggest Point 2 of SG3 be added to SG1."		
	"Points 4 & 6 are especially important."		
	"Point 6 should be expanded to include increasing performance to existing buildings."		
	"Point 5: Community allotments could be a benefit but		

Proposed Site Allocations and	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
Policies			
	orchards/gardens tend to become no-one's responsibility."		
	"Disagree with Maps 3,4,5." (2)		
	"Council should limit development to towns and keep traffic there." (2)		
	"There are no amenities in our communities."		
	"There is a lack of service infrastructure; drainage, sewers, lighting, comms."		
	"Provision of broadband is key to keeping young families links in rural areas, otherwise they have to move to towns."		
	"Extra housing would benefit the businesses at Frome's Hill."		
	"There are other parcels of land that have not been included but would be just as suitable."		
	"Should have 'dark skies' provision."		

Proposed Site Allocations and Policies	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
Policies	(4) Comments were made regarding Site 13 which are included in the discussion under Q14.		
Draft Policy SG3 Affordable Housing at Canon Frome Court	Comments: "An excellent way to get affordable housing without recourse to larger sites." (4) "Seems to satisfy the NDP objective." "CFC is the most suitable place for affordable housing." "A good use of resources." (3) "As long as it is within the confines of existing buildings." (4) "Part of the site in the pre-planning application is outside the settlement boundary (2) "No more housing in Canon Frome. More people and cars will spoil the	Overall high level of support noted. Review settlement boundary. The policy should help to ensure designs are sensitive to the historic context.	Review settlement boundary with applicant.

Proposed Site Allocations and	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
Policies			
	area."		
	(2)		
	"Affordable (subsidised) housing in rural areas with few employment opportunities is not suitable for low wage earners. They need to be closer to centres of employment to give maximum chances of finding reasonably paid jobs with lower		
	"This development is not controlled by the Core Strategy Policy H1 @ If more than 10 market units then 40% affordable housing must be		
	provided', so the residents of CFC must decide on this question."		
	"Point 4.39 is key: Housing should meet the needs of local people both for young families and those older looking to downsize." (2)		
	"CFC needs to be tidied up; it looks awful and run down. Could have lots of village amenities there."		
	"Unable to comment as don't know if all affordable housing residents		

Proposed Site Allocations and Policies	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
	would be part of the CFC community."		
	"The CFC community, after careful consideration wish to enhance the mix of residents to reflect wider society by developing affordable housing." (4)		
	"The character of the location must be maintained."		
	"Do not consider it practicable to do sympathetically to the character of existing buildings."		
	"Zero carbon footprint should be a criterion."		
	"The policy should include the provision of sufficient broadband."		
	"Access would be difficult without [residents] own transport."		
	"There should definitely be no further development at CFC with such a rich local history: Black Canons convent, Civil War siege, and most		

Proposed Site Allocations and Policies	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
	importantly a Roman archaeological site!"		
Draft Policy SG4 Housing Mix	Housing should be affordable for existing parishioners." (2) "Youngsters should not be forced into towns by rural housing prices." (3) "Over-emphasis on smaller homes might miss the real demand." (2) "There should be a mix of housing types rather than focus on one sector." (3) "[New developments] should be nearer to towns where there is access to facilities such as shops and hospitals." (2) "Some control over buy-to-rent should be included." "A proper mix should include large enough gardens."	The policy has been prepared following the consultation on Issues and options and includes positive wording to encourage the types of housing supported by local people in the earlier consultation. New housing should address the parish's local needs and provide a suitable mix a set as set out in the policy. Housing for older and younger people and those with particular needs are all supported in the policy. Rural areas should continue to provide suitable housing for residents to help maintain a sustainable and mixed community. The parish ahs several settlements which are identified in the Core Strategy as suitable for some housing growth. Demand is a different matter from need. Plots and gardens are addressed in Policy SG7.	Refer to those with mobility impairments / disabilities in Policy.

Proposed Site Allocations and Policies	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
Policies	"Prefer no new housing in Canon Frome." "Starter' homes very unsuitable for rural area. Occupants v likely to outgrow them quickly, requiring prohibitively expensive moves to more suitable accommodation, or overcrowding otherwise. Much more suitable for urban populations with many single people. Older people don't want to be housed in rural areas: they need ready access to medical and social facilities, friends and family. Looking after the disabled is not feasible in the countryside. Live/work accommodationwould not only be unaffordable but would take up an unreasonable amount of land. The inhabitants of 'market' housing don't want to be integrated with those in 'affordable 'housing, reenforcing the class system rather than diluting it." (2) "Enable people to stay in the area despite their economic status."	Infrastructure, broadband, design etc are addressed in other NDP Policies. The scale of development will be addressed through site allocations and settlement boundaries. If any sites are included for 11 or more dwellings then affordable housing may be required as part of the scheme.	

Proposed Site Allocations and Policies	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
	"Live/work needs to go hand-in-hand with infrastructure such as broadband."		
	"Individually sited affordable homes could be included on infill sites if the present landowners and neighbours agree."		
	"Policy SG4 needs supporting infrastructure, such as schools, buses, broadband, road width and quality."		
	"Don't want [to rely on] large developments to bring in affordable housing." (2)		
	"Large developments, such as those around Hereford, should be avoided as they appear to be large houses for commuters." (2)		
	"I have no confidence that the Policy would be enforced against pressure from developers and their legal representatives, as has been the case in recent developments elsewhere in England."		

Proposed Site Allocations and Policies	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
	"Different community groups need different aspects of leisure, culture and specific dwelling types such as for the elderly and disabled."		
	"Affordable housing should be an essential feature regardless of the location chosen."		
	"Support [SG4] ONLY if smaller houses match existing houses for style and quality."		
	"Housing mix needs to encourage a good age distribution."		
	"Housing designed to support older people does not make sense as there are no regular buses or other support facilities."		
	"Support for SG4 would mean an influx of undesirable classes, which would be more suited to urban areas.		
	"More housing means more vehicles on already busy and dangerous roads."		

Proposed Site Allocations and Policies	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
	"There are no facilities at Stretton Grandison. Development of Site 11 would ruin the village. "Site 11 has the potential to overwhelm the character of Stretton Grandison. It would spoil views to and from the church and cause traffic problems at the A 417 junction."		
Draft Policy SG5 Protecting Local Landscape Character and Wildlife	"It is important to protect: Homend Park, Mature woodland Green lanes Old meadows Dark skies Views to and from Stretton Grandison church" "Developers should be obliged to enact/fulfil landscape plans." (4) "Need to protect and increase biodiversity." (4)	Support noted. There are no known Green Lanes in the neighbourhood area. Other matters are largely addressed in the policy or other policies. NPPF protects the best and most versatile agricultural land see para 170, defined as Land in grades 1, 2 and 3a of the Agricultural Land Classification. The NDP cannot address development which as already been built but new development over the	No change.

Proposed Site Allocations and Policies	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
	"Landscaping should include new hedgerows." (2) "We need new tree planting." "Must protect wildlife." (4) "Should keep the landscape basically as it is." (3) "This [policy] includes retention of a dispersed settlement pattern which would mitigate against larger sites."	plan period will be considered against NDP and other planning policies.	Reg 14 Plan Changes
	"The landscape would be very adversely affected if all the housing requirement was to be lumped onto one site, particularly Site 11. It would be impossible to screen such developments adequately in the same way as individual or small plots; SG5 says 'The dispersed settlement pattern should be retained.' {Similarly, large scale renewable energy installations should not be permitted.]"		

Proposed Site Allocations and Policies	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
	"I am particularly worried about light pollution, e.g. the new floodlit arena at Meephill, Canon Frome."		
	"Environmental impact studies should be considered paramount, not glossed over."		
	""I would support any regeneration of the Hereford – Gloucester canal."		
	"Riverside meadows should not be built on."		
	"Prime farming land should not be built on."		
	Only scrub and infertile land should be built on."		
	"To maintain this policy, Sites 10 & 11 should be removed from consideration." (2)		
	"Site 11 will not meet the criteria of SG5."		
	"Site 11 is totally unsuitable as it is partially on a flood plain and is not		

Proposed Site Allocations and Policies	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
	proportional to the existing settlement."		
	"Our parish group is unique in having some of the finest views in Herefordshire. There should be no damage to the area's distinctive character." (3)		
	"How were the monstrous chicken sheds allowed which blot the view from B?"		
	"DO NOT want street lighting in any of the group parishes."		
Draft Policy SG6 Design Guidelines for Stretton	Comments:	Comments noted.	No change.
Grandison Conservation	"Any development in Stretton Grandison should be accompanied by Traffic Calming." (2) "Traffic speeds are too fast through the village." "There should be no development around or within the Conservation Area." (4)	The policy was prepared using the conservation area appraisal and should help to guide new development and ensure it is sensitive to context and local heritage assets. Stretton Grandison is identified as suitable for new housing development in the Core Strategy and several sites in and around the village have been put forward for consideration as site allocations.	

Proposed Site Allocations and	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
Policies			
	"Leave it to the existing Conservation Area rules."	Sustainable design is addressed in other NDP policies such as SG1 and SG8 and all relevant policies will apply	
	"The Conservation Area should be protected as an Heritage Asset."	to development. The conservation area boundary map	
	"There is no mention of sustainable construction/insulation" (2)	was supplied by Herefordshire Council.	
	"Maintenance of wildlife habitats [should be included]."		
	"Buildings and materials should be in character."		
	"Development on Sites 10 & 11, very close to or closely bounded by the Conservation Area, would overwhelm it. Houses on Site 11 would be a blot alongside the Conservation Area, and on Site 10 would destroy it from within. Any detailing of design in an attempt to suit the Area would be uneconomical, particularly for affordable homes." (2)		
	"Site 11 should be discounted under this Policy to protect views to and from the church and other Heritage		

Proposed Site Allocations and Policies	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
	Assets in the Conservation Area." (3)		
	"Point 5 is unnecessary. Point 6: There are better environmentally friendly solutions other than timber for window replacement."		
	"Some leeway should be given to appropriate, innovative, tasteful, modern design."		
	"There is a place for a more modern, eco-friendly approach to design. It is good to reflect that we are in 21 st century and modern designs can complement tradition."		
	"Support the Policy, but traditional materials often fail tests of sustainability/energy efficiency. We can't have our cake and eat it!"		
	"Support the Policy so long as the Park is not destroyed."		
	"Support [the Policy] with the caveat that most developers favour profit over design/build sensitivity; therefore they should be closely monitored to fulfil their brief."		

Proposed Site Allocations and Policies	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
	"Any new build should enhance the existing listed buildings." "The Policy should be extended to cover recently converted listed buildings such as Townsend Barns." "The Conservation Area shown on the map is inaccurate. It does NOT include the garden of Stretton Cottage."		
Draft Policy SG7 Design Principles – Protecting and Enhancing Heritage and Local Character	"This Policy is not consistent with intensive development or larger sites." "Any development should not change the nature of our locality." (3) "Larger developments would have a massive impact." (2) "The only new development should be to existing buildings." "I would not object to a modern ecobuilding with lots of glass, as it is	Support noted. The NDP policies including policies for heritage and design will apply to all new development, regardless of site size. Point about dispersed settlement pattern and large plots is noted but not accepted. Much of the built character of this very rural area is a result of low building densities. Gardens and parking are addressed in this and Policy SG1.	No change.

Proposed Site Allocations and	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
Policies			
	important to embrace new		
	technology."		
	"Point 4 of SG7 is contradictory: if building is concentrated on 'low densities on large plots' then this is not congruent with 'the dispersed settlement pattern'. The only places where the Policy statement 'low densities in large plots and set back from the roadside' might apply is Site 12." (2)		
	"Point 4 is a request for 'posh' houses."		
	"Although preferable, local materials are often more expensive than alternatives."		
	"Undecided. 21 st century buildings should not look like fake 19thC ones; Herefordshire demand this."		
	"Developments should provide gardens and on-site parking."		
	"It is the variety of design in our existing hamlets that makes them attractive and different."		

Proposed Site Allocations and Policies	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
	"Large plots reduce the number of houses to be built." "Local views must be protected." "All historic local buildings should be protected and nothing must detract from their aesthetic qualities."		
Draft Policy SG8 Design Principles – Promoting High Quality and Sustainable Design	Comments: Suggest that Point 1 should read 'New development will ONLY be supported if incorporating imaginative'." "Point 3 'Unobtrusive' should not outrank environmental benefits." "Traffic calming can increase pollution, so have reservations on Point 5." "Should have traditional building materials ONLY." (6) "Good in principle but can be costly"	Policies have to be flexible and not be too prescriptive. It would not be appropriate to insert "only" in Point 1. The policy reflects the need to balance heritage issues with sustainable design. Traffic seems to be a significant issue locally (refer to other comments about various sites) so the reference to traffic calming should be retained. There is likely to be a greater emphasis on sustainability in the future due to more widespread concerns about climate change / climate emergency.	No change.

Proposed Site Allocations and Policies	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
•	"Renewable energy schemes should not be enforced unless they make economic sense." "Small scale [renewable energy schemes] can be disastrous for household budgets when they eventually break down and the users find that replacement/repair costs hugely outweigh any current account savings." (2) "Should include air-source heat pumps." "I hate roof-mounted solar panels." "Solar panels should not be visible." "People need services rather than	Unfortunately the NDP cannot address public transport services but can help to locate development close to services where they are available.	Reg 14 Plan Changes
	"How does innovative and modern design fit with the heritage requirements of Questions 7 & 8?" (2) "There should also be innovative design using modern technologies		

Proposed Site Allocations and Policies	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
	and materials, not resulting in the usual boxes." (4)		
	"This also applies to affordable housing." (2)		
	"Design needs to be sustainable, affordable and zero/low carbon." (2)		
	"Wouldn't like to see very modern designs, although renewable energy is a good idea."		
	"Do NOT support modern architectural approach. DO support renewable energy schemes. Two important issues have been muddled together."		
	"Frequency of public transport in rural areas should be addressed."		
	"Cycling on narrow roads with potholes and lorries is dangerous." (2)		
	"Public transport is a service that communities badly need. Traffic		

Proposed Site Allocations and	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
Policies			
	volume is a major issue in some of the villages and needs addressing."		
	"Linking of public transport with traffic calming would be appreciated."		
	"Point 4: There is no footpath provision that works from Stretton Grandison to any amenities."		
Draft Policy SG9 Re-use of Former Agricultural Buildings for	Comments:	Support and comments noted.	Insert "small scale and" before "sensitive in first line.
Local Economic Development	"Point 1 is the main criterion."	Reference to "small scale" accepted.	
·		·	Insert "live/work units" into
	"Point 3 is particularly important	Mitigation measures are addressed in	paragraph 1.
	where sites are in close proximity and impact on listed buildings."	3.	
	(3)	Reference to live work units	
		supported.	
	"We'd like [the Policy] to be		
	strengthened to ensure only small scale. (For example, New House Farm	Wildlife is protected in other NDP policies, national and Herefordshire	
	could be developed into a large industrial site with associated noise levels and light pollution). Some of	policies (and other wildlife protection laws).	
	this is covered by SG9 but size of development is not, although Point 6.6 alludes to it."		

•	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
Proposed Site Allocations and Policies	"Yes, provided that re-use is: Appropriate to the rural environment (2) Noise minimised (2) Lighting minimised (2) Chours minimised (2) Environmental pollution minimised (4) Minimal traffic generated "Would also support re-use of redundant buildings for housing." (2) "[Conversion] to live/work units would be good." "Home working can also be encouraged by incorporating home offices into new houses and enhancing broadband." "A better alternative to new build."	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes

Proposed Site Allocations and Policies	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
Proposed Site Allocations and Policies	"This is a way of preserving important local buildings with traditional design features." "Re-development is the life-blood of the rural community." (2) "[Redundant buildings] should be left for use by nature such as bats and barn owls." "The only area which might be developed for businesses is at New House Farm. All traffic for this location, (sometimes the heaviest of HGV's), comes along the C1153. This road is already severely overused and cannot take any more traffic. There should be no further business use of properties fronting or taking access from the C1153." (2) "This requires investment in modern	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
	utilities such as broadband, without which local economic regeneration is inhibited."		

Proposed Site Allocations and Policies	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
	"[Re-use] generates too much traffic of vans and lorries on our side roads."		
	"Access to B and C roads for large artics should be restricted."		
	"The Hop Pocket struggles to get rural crafts into its premises. Why build more?"		
	"There should be no economic development in the Stretton Grandison Conservation Area."		
	"Especially [support] if additional population leads to local employment and food outlets."		
Draft Policy SG10 New Agricultural Buildings and Poly	Comments:	Support noted.	No change.
Tunnels	"Poly tunnels and large agricultural buildings compromise the appearance of the countryside."	The Policy can only be applied where planning consent is required and should help to ensure proposals are sensitive to the area.	
	"Poly tunnels and large agricultural buildings generate further stress on rural roads." (4)		

Proposed Site Allocations and Policies	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
	"Cannot support Point 1 because the ecological impact is huge."		
	"Don't want any intensive animal farming in the area." (10)		
	"Don't want any new buildings or poly tunnels." (7)		
	"Acceptable provided that there is no environmental pollution." (2)		
	"Poly tunnels are very visually intrusive and impact on our heritage assets". (13)		
	"Scrap plastic poly tunnels make environmental pollution worse."		
	"Controls on poly tunnels should be strictly enforced, and costs increased to make them less attractive." (3)		
	"SG10 should be supported only if the policy is firmly written into local planning regulations so that local		

Proposed Site Allocations and Policies	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
	people can make appropriate objections if the policy seems likely to be breached either before construction or through misuse and inappropriate modification after completion. There should be no development at all that requires access from the C1153."		
	"It is hard to make a living in agriculture, so if poly tunnels save us importing – lets do it! Those who do not approve should live elsewhere."		
	"I support poly tunnels over buildings as they are temporary and the soil is not destroyed." (4)		
	"Not wishing to stifle local employment, but Herefordshire has exceeded its capacity for poly tunnels and intensive poultry units." (5)		
	"Whilst understanding the benefit of poly tunnels, they should be restricted and hidden from view. Account should be taken of their effects on local climate, water run-off		

Proposed Site Allocations and Policies	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
	and water management." (3)		
	"Happy with new buildings, but there are already enough poly tunnels."		
	"The poultry farm at Castle Frome causes odour problems at least 4 days per month, dependant on the wind direction."		
	"Industrial chicken units are legally required to be at least 400m from the nearest house."		
	"OK, subject to full environmental impact assessment."		
	"Who decides on 'significant visual intrusion'?"		
	"We don't support this Policy but recognise that broader planning rules might impose it on us. We would object strongly if it were to arise. If we have to have something in the NDP to qualify and tighten proposals being brought forward, then we could support subject to strengtheningSG9 Point 6.6."		

Proposed Site Allocations and	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
Policies	Community	Constant	No. de com
Draft Policy SG11 Community-	Comments:	Support noted.	No change.
Led Renewable Energy Schemes	"I would welcome further		
	consultation to see if any such		
	schemes could be created or hosted		
	in any new developments."		
	(3)		
	, ,		
	"Renewables are good for the		
	environment"		
	"Probably no meaningful opportunity		
	outside Canon Frome Court."		
	"Yes, where feasible and cost		
	effective."		
	"I support all renewables except solar		
	panels."		
	"All 'renewable' (i.e. wind farms and		
	solar panel arrays on agricultural		
	land) power generation schemes are		
	inherently uneconomical and exist		
	only by taking subsidies from		
	electricity consumers, the poorest		
	being worst affected. These facts are		
	consistently concealed from people.		
	Small scale 'community-led' schemes		
	are much worse as far as economics		
	are concerned. The effect of wind		

Proposed Site Allocations and Policies	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
	farms and solar arrays would be devastating on the landscape and rural character of the area, contravening Policies SG5,6,7 & 10." (2)		
	"Agree with individual installations but [commercial] sized schemes would not be in keeping with the nature of our settlements. Individual schemes must not intrude on neighbours' views."		
	"Renewable energy schemes should be encouraged."		
	"Local energy generation and storage should be encouraged."		
	"[It is] not worth investing so much in local sites when there are lots more choices."		
	"The more, the better!" (5)		
	"We have this model at Canon Frome Court and it should be integral to all new housing development."		

Proposed Site Allocations and Policies	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
Policies	"All schemes have a substantial visual impact." "All new builds should emphasise 'green' technology."		
Draft Policy SG12 Reducing Flood Risk	"There should be no development in areas prone to flooding." (5) "Site 11 is not sustainable as it is on a flood risk area and bordered by fields that flood regularly." (3) "Before Site 1 is considered, work to prevent field run-off is required. Townsend Barns were flooded in 2007. This is not listed in 'Areas at Risk'." "All Policies must be adhered to when creating any new structure to manage flood risk." "All road drains, gullies and ditches need to be kept clean and clear of undergrowth." (2)	Comments noted. Flood risk was considered in the technical site assessments. The policy cannot address management of drainage, culverts etc. The policy seeks to guide development away from areas at greatest risk of flooding and to minimise run off in line with national policy.	No change.

Proposed Site Allocations and Policies	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
	"Herefordshire Council has failed by not maintaining water courses/drainage already in place to control flooding." "Any development should have		
	"The most effective way of reducing the risk from flood is not to build dwellings in flood risk areas. SG7.2 acknowledges the risk of flood 'to part of Site 11' but does not address the stark problem of waste water disposal in such an area. It does not make sense to build here. SG7.3 acknowledges that surface water run-off ends up on the A 417. Some of it gets there via the C1153, adjacent to Site 11 and may go through Site 10 to get there. SG7.5 'Guides development to areas of		
	lower risk of flooding' so must exclude Sites 10 & 11 from development. The same paragraph reminds of SG7 (climate change), inferring that flooding will probably become MORE likely rather than less." (2)		

Proposed Site Allocations and Policies	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
	"Building on flood risk sites is asking for trouble."		
	"All car parking surfaces should be of porous materials to minimise runoff."		
	"Flooding in an area of minor population is a natural benefit for pasture and wildlife etc."		
	"Our well is our only source of water supply. Any flood prevention must not impact on dwellings reliant on natural water supply."		
Other comments	Comments:	Noted.	Review images and improve quality if possible.
	If Site 12 is chosen, there is no need for Policy SG3, [affordable housing at CFC]. The survey result should be percentages, not number of people in each parish." "There are enough small sites within the area that can provide the number	The NDP has been prepared taking account of national and Herefordshire Council planning policies and proposes a level and type development appropriate to the parish. Site allocations will be informed by	п роззыне.
	and diversity of housing required without spoiling the environment with large developments. I refer to Point 4.27 where virtually nobody	the responses to the consultation and should help to deliver the 14 houses required by Core Strategy over the plan period.	

Proposed Site Allocations and	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
Policies	supports the idea pf a large development." (2) "Draft Policy SG5 talks of protecting the dispersed settlement pattern. ANY large scale development would be counter to this. The dispersed settlement pattern is a core feature of the parish. Medium or large scale development should not even be considered. Removal of the large sites 10 and 11 still gives us more than enough development to meet the Council target." "Work is scarce in the countryside. Transport to towns and cities will be by car on poorly maintained small roads. Why put more housing in the country when all the infrastructure is already in towns?" "Not in favour of building in the countryside due to limited amenities. Every household needs 1-2 cars and has to travel to everything. So there is lots more traffic on roads mainly used by animals and agricultural machinery."	National and local planning policy set out that affordable housing can only be required on sites of 11 or more homes and is subject to viability - refer to NPPF. Most detailed matters are already addressed in the policies. Most of the consultancy work for the NDP is funded through grants and the plan preparation is led by a group of volunteers. The PC has secured funding and technical support from the Government Locality support for NDPs to pay for the NDP.	

Proposed Site Allocations and Policies	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
	"Support the policy of modest development in the NDP area, but only Frome's Hill has amenities. There is a shortage of rural small houses to attract young families. Small pockets of housing in Stretton Grandison and Canon Frome of a mixed nature would enhance rural areas and improve housing stock. [Policy] should also promote barn conversions."		
	Smaller sites are the best option. Large sites are disproportionate to our rural hamlets." "Support the policy but cannot rank the small developments as they are too far away [from Frome's Hill]."		
	"Q1 is inappropriate and inadmissible. There is no exclusive link between larger sites and affordable housing. In the writer's opinion, this leaves the consultation open to legal challenge. There has not been a consistent policy as to one questionnaire per		
	household or one per resident. This is unacceptable and invalidates the consultation.		

The Steering Group should consider, without Consultants, whether the NDP process has been fit for purpose and meets reasonable standards for a public consultation. If it doesn't, they should record that view and take appropriate action. There appears to be pressure, (from both Consultants), in favour of larger sites, contrary to local opinion." "Q1 was restricted, so we have chosen both options." "Unfortunately Q1 is slightly inaccurate as option A has NO guarantee for affordable housing as 'market conditions' can be used to rescind any initial offer. Option B DOES allow affordable housing at the site owner's discretion." "The questionnaire should allow comment on both options for housing sites." "Cannot make a 'large' or 'small' decision. Each site should be considered independently." (2)	Proposed Site Allocations and Policies	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
	Policies	without Consultants, whether the NDP process has been fit for purpose and meets reasonable standards for a public consultation. If it doesn't, they should record that view and take appropriate action. There appears to be pressure, (from both Consultants), in favour of larger sites, contrary to local opinion." "Q1 was restricted, so we have chosen both options." "Unfortunately Q1 is slightly inaccurate as option A has NO guarantee for affordable housing as 'market conditions' can be used to rescind any initial offer. Option B DOES allow affordable housing at the site owner's discretion." "The questionnaire should allow comment on both options for housing sites." "Cannot make a 'large' or 'small' decision. Each site should be considered independently."		

Proposed Site Allocations and Policies	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
Folicies	"[With reference to the]		
	Questionnaire:		
	,		
	Confusion in Q1 & 2. Preferences in		
	Q2 conditional upon answer to Q1.		
	Prejudiced against those unfamiliar		
	with form filling. Layout very poor.		
	Q2, 4,7,8, 11 separated from their		
	boxes. Questionnaire should be re-		
	issued in a simpler and better laid out		
	form.		
	{With reference to the] NDP Fist		
	Draft:		
	A5 format unsuitable, leading to		
	difficulties with the scale of some of		
	the maps; some map preparation is		
	poor; there are one or two factual		
	errors; jargon creeps in. [Writer describes complaints about		
	various maps colour ,scale and		
	definition.]		
	NDP should have been produced in		
	A4 format so as to accommodate		
	suitable map scales and should have		
	been proof read more efficiently. This		
	poor effort should be scrapped, re-		
	written and re-issued with an		
	extended consultation period."		
	"The NDP should outline an evolution		
	of local development driven by		

Proposed Site Allocations and	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
Policies			
	residents of our parishes for the benefit of the parishes. Any development that significantly changes or dominates an area has failed the expectations of all present residents."		
	"The Policy Document is thorough and well thought out. Keep the countryside beautiful. (2)		
	"Impressed by this consultation process and thank those who have done the work." (9)		
	"The Draft Plan booklet is really helpful but the quality of the images on page 37 is poor. (2)		
	"Not sure that Herefordshire Planning is on board with the same perspective [as NDP]. They seem to be approving almost anything."		
	Moved to Canon Frome for rural quiet. More houses means more traffic as all would have 2+ cars. No bus links in Canon Frome for the car-		

Proposed Site Allocations and Policies	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
	less (affordable) so developments are		
	more suitable on A 4103 and A 417."		
	"Realise that we can't preserve Canon Frome in aspic but we want any development to be in keeping with the area in all ways possible." "Please don't build in the West of the parish in view from the Malvern Hills. "B and C roads need improvement to cope with additional traffic."		
	"Development [Planning] is not taking the roads into account, especially through Canon Frome, which cannot tolerate any more vehicles. There are no buses, so every household has at least 1 car. This is the countryside and should stay that way, not be over-developed."		
	"The two most important considerations are Road Safety in Stretton Grandison and sustainable design respecting local architecture." "Are there any brownfield sites that		
	would be more appropriate than the Greenfield sites shown in the		

Proposed Site Allocations and	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
Policies			
	booklet? Are all options on the		
	table?"		
	"This is a great opportunity to		
	introduce some individual-style		
	housing into the area; but it would be		
	a shame to see any larger scale		
	development by faceless developers.		
	An improvement to local facilities –		
	transport, BROADBAND, leisure		
	facilities, business/employment		
	opportunities would also be a huge advantage."		
	auvantage.		
	"We appreciate the time and effort		
	put into this 'project'. We understand		
	the difficulties in producing a plan		
	that will meet everyone's		
	expectations but compromises have		
	to be made. Putting forward, as the		
	dominant thread, the idea of		
	conservation based on aesthetic		
	appearance seems old-fashioned in		
	times of rapid change and needs. The		
	plans seem based on 'conservatism'		
	and not 'progression'.		
	Putting one large collection of		
	dwellings together, in a suitable		
	environment, could achieve the best		
	compromise; minimum impact on		
	existing sites, maximum opportunity		

Proposed Site Allocations and	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
Policies			
	for collective planning based upon		
	the future towards a 'carbon zero'		
	world."		
	"The flood areas, traffic and		
	noise/light pollution would have a		
	significant impact on the wildlife and		
	already strained services of this local		
	environment. The roads are not fit to		
	accommodate additional traffic and		
	would make it more hazardous to the		
	general public.		
	The Council should consider other		
	areas taking [these points] into		
	account.		
	It was noted that retirees would		
	move to this area. However, with the		
	lack of local doctors, public services		
	and the removal of the rapid		
	response paramedic, the Council		
	would be putting peoples lives and		
	the environment in danger.		
	It is also worth noting that there are many badger setts in this area of		
	Stretton Grandison which are a		
	protected species; and damage to		
	their environment would have a		
	significant consequence to a		
	protected animal."		
	protected animal.		

Proposed Site Allocations and Policies	Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Reg 14 Plan Changes
	"Some houses planned as one large property could be built as two semi's, then more affordable housing could be provided."		
	"Agricultural land should only be used for [housing] development as a last resort. Its what makes the British countryside so popular with tourists etc. It should be monitored and protected vigorously to keep the landscape as pristine as possible, with any development being sensitive to local topography." "BEFORE any building sites are		
	approves or decisions finalised, can we all be informed, please?"		
	"Who is paying for this NDP? Why do we need more houses; has this become a salubrious area and has there been a recent population explosion leaving a queue of local residents waiting for houses?"		