



Stretton Grandison
Neighbourhood Development Plan
2018 - 2031
First Draft Plan (Preferred Options)



Stretton Grandison Neighbourhood Plan Working Group

On behalf of Stretton Grandison Group Parish Council

V1 November 2018

Contents

Public Consultation	3
1.0 What is a Neighbourhood Development Plan (NDP)?	4
2.0 A Neighbourhood Development Plan for Stretton Grandison.....	6
3.0 Draft Vision and Objectives.....	10
Draft Planning Policies and Preferred Option Site Allocations	13
4.0 Housing in Our Parishes	15
5.0 Protecting our Environment	26
6.0 Local Economy	38
7.0 Flooding.....	43
8.0 Promoting Sustainable Communities	45
9.0 Next Steps	46
Appendix 1 Definition of Affordable Housing.....	47
Appendix 2 Listed Buildings	48

Public Consultation

Welcome to the Stretton Grandison (NDP) First Draft Plan. Public consultation is a very important part of preparing Neighbourhood Development Plans and we need your views and comments to help us ensure the NDP for Stretton Grandison Group Parish truly reflects locally important issues and concerns.

This document is published for informal public consultation **from XX to XX 2019**.

Work began on a Neighbourhood Development Plan for Stretton Grandison in 2016 when a steering group of local residents and parish councillors was set up to co-ordinate the plan's preparation and to oversee public consultation and engagement. An "Issues and Options" document was prepared and published for informal public consultation in early 2017, and following consideration of the responses to this process, in 2018 a call for sites was undertaken and a technical site assessment of all submitted sites was commissioned. This work has taken some time, but now the parish council is moving forward with the NDP and we are consulting on a First Draft Plan (Preferred Options).

The Draft NDP sets out draft planning policies and to shape new development in Stretton Grandison Group Parish up to 2031 and these have been prepared taking into account local residents' and stakeholders' comments and ideas from the issues and Options consultation. The Plan also includes a number of "Preferred Options" for site allocations for new housing, and your responses to these will inform the parish council's decisions about which of the site should be retained in the next version of the Draft Plan.

We need to know:

- Do you have any detailed comments about the draft vision and objectives?
- What are your views on the preferred option site allocations for new housing?
- Do you support the other draft planning policies in the plan?
- Do you have any suggestions for how the draft policies could be improved?
- Is there anything important that you think we have missed?

A summary document has also been prepared for this consultation.

You can comment on this First Draft Plan in the following ways:

- Viewing and downloading documents from the NDP website **insert** and returning any comments by email to **XX** or post to **XXX**
- **Viewing hard copies of the document on request from XX or available at XX (eg churches, local pubs etc)**
- By coming along to the Open Event **insert time, date, location. (Suggest you do an event in Fromes Hill - at the pub? And promote NDP to residents and PC there too.**

Next Steps: After this informal consultation we will consider all submitted responses and revise the Draft Neighbourhood Development Plan for formal public consultation (Regulation 14) later in 2019.


1.0 What is a Neighbourhood Development Plan (NDP)?

- 1.1 Neighbourhood Development Plans (NDPs) are a new type of planning policy document which are prepared by Parish Councils (and other relevant bodies) to guide new development within a defined area such as a parish, alongside local authority (here, Herefordshire Council) and national planning policy documents. Stretton Grandison Group Parish Council has decided to prepare a NDP for this area, and this document has been prepared for public consultation following consideration of local residents' responses to our Issues and Options document in 2017 and a call for sites and technical site assessment process in 2018.
- 1.2 Neighbourhood Development Plans (NDPs) were introduced through the Localism Act 2011 to give local people a greater say in planning decisions that affect their area. NDPs are neighbourhood level planning policy documents with policies designed to reflect the needs and priorities of local communities.
- 1.3 NDPs can identify where development should take place, set out local design principles so that buildings respond positively to local character, and protect important facilities, historic buildings, the natural environment and open spaces. They are an important part of our planning system because planning applications are determined in accordance with the development plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise. Made (adopted) NDPs are part of the local statutory development plan for their area.
- 1.4 A Neighbourhood Development Plan (NDP) can cover a range of planning related issues or just have one, single policy. This document has been prepared building on the responses to the public consultation on Issues and Options which was undertaken from XX to XX 2017. The Issues and Options document set out a proposed draft vision and objectives for the NDP and asked residents about a range of planning issues and potential policies for addressing these issues. This First Draft Plan provides more detail in terms of background and supporting evidence, identifies a number of potential sites for new housing as "preferred options" and sets out wording for 12 draft planning policies. The Neighbourhood Development Plan and its policies should be read as a whole and proposals will be assessed against all the relevant policies.
- 1.5 It is important to remember that NDPs cannot be prepared in isolation. They have to be 'in general conformity' with local strategic planning policies - in this case, the policies set out in the adopted Herefordshire Local Plan Core Strategy 2011 - 2031¹. NDPs must also have regard to national planning policy - the revised National Planning Policy Framework (2018)² and other guidance such as Planning Practice Guidance³ and statements and advice.
- 1.6 All the Neighbourhood Development Plan planning policies have to be underpinned by a clear and robust evidence base of local opinion and technical resources and, overall, the Plan has to meet a set of 'basic conditions' set out in national guidance.
- 1.7 Preparing an NDP is a complex and lengthy process. This is set out in Figure 1.

¹ https://www.herefordshire.gov.uk/info/200185/local_plan/137/local_plan_-_core_strategy/2

² <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-planning-policy-framework--2>

³ <https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/planning-practice-guidance>


Figure 1 NDP Process

- 1.8 Following this period of informal public consultation, we are hoping to finalise our Stretton Grandison Draft NDP for the required 6 weeks formal consultation (Regulation 14) later in 2019. The Plan then will be revised again and submitted to Herefordshire Council who will check it and publish it for another 6 weeks consultation.
- 1.9 The NDP will be examined by an independent Examiner, who is likely to recommend further changes. After the Inspector's report is published and the changes made, the NDP will be subjected to a local referendum in the group parish. If there is a majority 'Yes' vote, the NDP will be made (adopted) by Herefordshire Council and used to help determine planning applications in the parish.
- 1.10 There are therefore several stages of public consultation and engagement throughout the process and the Neighbourhood Plan can only be made if, at the very end, local people support it. We need your help, support and ideas to achieve this.

2.0 A Neighbourhood Development Plan for Stretton Grandison

- 2.1 The neighbourhood area for the proposed NDP was designated by Herefordshire Council on 21st September 2015 and is shown on Map 1 below. A Steering Group of interested local residents and Parish Councillors was set up to oversee the preparation of the NDP on behalf of the Parish Council in May 2016.

Map 1 Stretton Grandison Neighbourhood Area



@Crown copyright and database rights [2015] Ordnance Survey 100055940
Stretton Grandison Parish Council (Licensee) License number 0100058301

- 2.2 Stretton Grandison Group Parish comprises the parishes of Castle Frome, Eggleton, Stretton Grandison and Canon Frome. The Group Parish extends over 1697 ha and had a combined population of 360 in 2011 (see Census 2011 Neighbourhood Statistics⁴). The area lies about 10 miles to the north east of the City of Hereford, and 8 miles north west of Ledbury.
- 2.3 The neighbourhood area is very rural in character with several scattered settlements including Stretton Grandison, Canon Frome, Castle Frome, Upper Eggleton and Lower Eggleton. The River Frome flows roughly north east to south west through the area and parts of the area are at risk of flooding (Middle Frome Catchment).

⁴ <http://www.neighbourhood.statistics.gov.uk/dissemination>

- 2.4 There are a number of sites of wildlife interest and many built heritage assets including Listed Buildings and Scheduled Monuments in the Group Parish (see Appendix 2), and there is a Conservation Area in Stretton Grandison.
- 2.5 The Herefordshire Local Plan Core Strategy 2011 - 2031 sets out the strategic planning framework for the Group Parish with a range of planning policies which support housing and economic growth, protect and enhance wildlife and special landscapes, and protect facilities. Overall the Core Strategy aims to promote sustainable development across the County.
- 2.6 Stretton Grandison Group Parish falls within the Ledbury Rural Housing Market Area which is required to grow by 14% over the Plan period up to 2031. Taking into account existing commitments and completions of new housing since 2011, the NDP is required to support the provision of a least 18 new homes up to 2031.
- 2.7 **Lower Eggleton and Fromes Hill** (both on the edge of the neighbourhood area, and partly within other parishes) are identified as settlements which will be **the main focus of proportionate housing development** (Figure 4.14 in the Core Strategy). **Stretton Grandison, Canon Frome and Eggleton** are identified as **other settlements where proportionate housing is appropriate** in Figure 4.15. In order to be in 'general conformity' with the Core Strategy, new housing development in the parish should be concentrated in these identified settlements. Outside these settlements the parish is considered to be the wider countryside and rural area policies (such as Core Strategy Policies RA3, RA4, RA5 and RA6) will apply.
- 2.8 The NDP for Stretton Grandison Group Parish has a role in providing the local detail for the higher level strategic policies; providing more information about the Group Parish context to inform planning decisions and identifying sites which are considered to be suitable for new housing development.

Issues and Options Consultation

- 2.9 An Issues and Options document and accompanying Residents' Questionnaire were published for local informal consultation in February 2017. The Issues and Options full document set out the main identified planning issues for the NDP and possible policy options for addressing these issues.
- 2.10 The Questionnaire asked 26 questions on the vision and objectives for the Plan, housing, environment, the local economy, flooding, and other issues (sustainable community, and traffic, transport and access). Most of the questions were in multiple-choice format, and also offered the chance to make further comments. The remaining questions were open, asking for views on various planning matters. The questions were organised into 14 groups of 'policy options', to facilitate making best use of the survey findings to inform the planning policies in the Neighbourhood Development Plan.
- 2.11 Copies of the Questionnaires were hand delivered to all households in the Group Parish by volunteer distributors from the NDP Steering Group. All residents aged 16 and over were invited to take part in the survey. Completed questionnaires were hand-collected (with return visits being made as necessary). Overall, 119 completed questionnaires were collected or returned. This is equivalent to 31.6% of the Neighbourhood Area population aged 16 and over (Census 2011).
- 2.12 Residents were invited to attend drop-in events at Canon Frome Court on 17th March 5pm – 8pm or The Stables on Sunday 19th March 2pm – 6pm. **Unfortunately these were rather**

poorly attended - Steering group please provide more details can you remember how many came? I think the one in Canon Frome Court was better attended? If you can give numbers that would be helpful.

- 2.13 The Issues and Options consultation was publicised on the parish council's website, parish magazine (steering group advise) and in the introduction to the Questionnaire delivered to local households. Copies of both the full Issues and Options document and the Questionnaire are provided in the NDP website under "Public Consultation" or "Process" pages - Clerk / SG please check and make sure everything is provided on website under clear headings.
- 2.14 DJN Planning Limited was commissioned to undertake a full analysis of the results of the Issues and Options consultation. The full report is published on the evidence base section of the NDP website. The summary of the analysis is provided below:

The survey was undertaken in February 2017 and achieved a response rate of 31.6%.

Draft Vision and Objectives of the Plan

- High and consistent levels of support were shown for the draft vision and the objectives. All were supported by over 90% of respondents.
- The environmental objectives were most favoured (95%).

Housing in our Parishes

- There was majority support (61%) for the draft settlement boundaries. In addition, many detailed suggestions for boundary amendments were made which will require further consideration as the Neighbourhood Development Plan is compiled.
- Almost half of respondents (47%) supported seeking sites for new housing development in all the identified settlements.
- Lower Eggleton and Fromes Hill were preferred as settlements for growth. These are the two higher-level "main focus" villages listed in the Local Plan Core Strategy.
- Half of respondents said that no more than 10 houses in total should be allowed in their village by 2031; a third thought that no more than two houses should be permitted.
- Most wanted to see such new homes provided as single dwellings within the villages, through either the sub-division of gardens or other infill plots. There was little support for a single large housing estate, which was opposed by almost three-quarters of respondents.
- Favoured sizes and types of new homes were 2- and 3-bedroom properties; homes for local people, or those with local connections; adapted/easy access homes; and living/working units.
- Opinion was evenly split as to whether the Neighbourhood Development Plan should include a policy on development in the wider countryside or leave this to the Local Plan Core Strategy.

Protecting our environment

- Protection of the natural environment was of greater importance to respondents than views and vistas, or heritage assets.
- Dark skies were important for 71% of respondents.
- A range of local landscape features were identified for protection, including Homend Park, Canon Frome Court parkland, woodlands and watercourses.

- Over half of respondents (54%) referred to Homend Park as deserving protection as Local Green Space, pointing to its value as a historic, recreational, nature conservation and visual amenity resource.
- Local built features seen as distinctive included individual buildings (eg Canon Frome Court), generic building types (eg hop kilns), and materials (eg brick, stone and slate).

Local economy

- There were many suggestions as to what should be included in policies on the re-use of disused farm buildings and on new agricultural development. These will need to be considered as these policies are written up in the Neighbourhood Development Plan.
- There was support for a positive policy on community-based energy schemes (66% in favour), with the balance of opinion favouring solar and biomass over water and wind power.

Flooding

- As well as the River Frome and its floodplain, other locations where flooding was reported were associated with low-lying land, local watercourses and field run-off.
- Field run-off was the most reported source of property flooding in recent years.

Other Issues

- Asked about creating a sustainable community, the priority issue for most respondents (76%) was attracting younger people to live in the villages and work locally.
- Walking, cycling and using the bus instead of the car (69%), and building energy-efficient homes above current standards and increasing the number and type of community facilities (both 66%), were then preferred over allocating land for residents' food production.
- On traffic and transport, the key issues were road maintenance, bus services, and traffic speed and volume, throughout the villages but particularly the A417 at Stretton Grandison.

About you

- Compared to Census 2011 figures, in the survey returns:

o younger age groups were under-represented and older age groups over-represented, and
o males were under-represented and females over-represented.

- 2.15 In addition there were many other comments submitted under the various planning themes. These have been recorded in their entirety **and are provided on the NDP website**. The analysis and headline results and all the comments submitted have been considered very carefully by the NDP Steering group and used to inform the draft policies in this First Draft Plan.

3.0 Draft Vision and Objectives

The Draft Vision and Objectives have been prepared by the Steering Group and amended following the consultation on the Issues and Options.

Draft Vision

In the consultation on Issues and Options 108 respondents (91%) supported the Draft Vision. Comments submitted included queries about the meaning of "affordable housing" in the local context and the local need for rented accommodation, greater energy efficiency / eco designs, concerns about capacity of existing infrastructure, and concerns that housing should be located close to employment. There were also some suggestions for alterations to the wording of the Draft Vision. In response to these comments, the Draft Vision has been amended and strengthened.

Draft Vision for Stretton Grandison Group Parish

New housing development, including affordable housing, will be provided to support the sustainable growth of the area.

Development will be of a high quality design which is sympathetic to the distinctive rural character of existing local buildings in the area. In addition, buildings will incorporate sustainable design principles including energy efficiency measures.

The rural environment, landscape and wildlife of the Stretton Grandison Group Parish will be protected and enhanced.

The rural economy will be strengthened through appropriate small scale business development and farming that provides local employment opportunities.

Draft Housing Objectives

109 respondents (92%) supported the draft objectives for housing. Comments and suggestions included that Lower Eggleton is unsuitable for more development, concerns about affordability and the need for more housing for first time buyers, the need to ensure new development is sympathetic to the countryside and concerns about traffic. There was also support for conversions of redundant buildings.

Draft Objectives for Housing

HO1. To identify the **distribution and scale of housing growth **in each of the** identified settlements in the Core Strategy.**

(Through NDP Draft Policies)

HO2. To work closely with neighbouring parishes to promote a joint approach to growth at Fromes Hill and Lower Eggleton.

(Through NDP Draft Policies)

HO3. To identify a range of suitable sites through a Call for Sites and Site Assessment process.

(Through NDP Draft Policies)

HO4. To support a suitable and appropriate mix of house types, tenures and sizes in both new development and residential conversions across the Group Parish.

(Through NDP Draft Policies)

Draft Environment Objectives

113 respondents (95%) supported the Draft Environmental Objectives. Comments included concerns about the need to support farming and concerns about modern agricultural practices including use of chemicals and polytunnels. There were suggestions about the need to protect the countryside and landscape including veteran trees, avenues of trees and park landscapes.

Draft Objectives for the Environment

ENO1. To conserve and protect **the park landscapes of Homend Park and **Canon Frome Court, including individual veteran trees and avenues of trees.****

(Through NDP Draft Policies)

ENO2. To protect and enhance local natural environmental assets such as wildlife, hedgerows, water courses and ponds, traditional orchards, ancient woodlands, the line of the old canal and important views such as to Woolhope Dome.

(Through NDP Draft Policies)

ENO3. To ensure development is guided towards areas of lowest flood risk and to promote designs and layout which do not exacerbate flood risk eg from surface water.

(Through NDP Draft Policies)

ENO4. To ensure new agricultural development such as poly tunnels and large agricultural buildings are designed and sited sensitively within the landscape.

(Through NDP Draft Policies)

Draft Economy Objectives

107 respondents (90%) supported the Draft Objectives for the Economy. Comments included the need for better broadband, improved roads and public transport. There were suggestions that redundant farm buildings could be converted into homes or holiday accommodation and small retail units such as farm shops or cafes should be supported. There was recognition of potential opportunities from home working and concerns about the meaning of energy schemes.

Draft Objectives for the Economy

ECO1. To support the sensitive conversion of redundant farm buildings **such as for holiday accommodation, cafes and farm shops to create more local employment opportunities.**

(Through NDP Draft Policies)

ECO2. To support investment in local infrastructure **including broadband and transport.**

(Through NDP Draft Policies and the Parish Councils future actions)

ECO3. To encourage the use of renewable energy schemes, for instance through community heating schemes.

(Through NDP Draft Policies)

Draft Planning Policies and Preferred Option Site Allocations

This section sets out the draft planning policies against which planning applications in the Group Parish will be considered.

The section also includes a number of preferred option site allocations which have been put forward for public consultation. Following the consideration of the responses to the public consultation the Parish Council will make a decision about which of the proposed housing sites should remain in the Draft NDP as site allocations.

The Draft Policies will be amended following consideration of any submitted comments.

The Draft Policies Maps identify the proposed settlement boundaries, existing commitments and site allocations for each of the identified settlements .

Insert Policies Maps

Insert Map 2 Settlement Boundary (and Preferred Option Housing Sites) - Lower Eggleton

Insert Map 3 Settlement Boundary (and Preferred Option Housing Sites) - Fromes Hill

Insert Map 4 Settlement Boundary (and Preferred Option Housing Sites) - Stretton Grandison

Insert Map 5 - Settlement Boundary (and Preferred Option Housing Sites) - Canon Frome

4.0 Housing in Our Parishes



Houses at Fromes Hill, on corner opposite The Wheatsheaf

- 4.1 Providing a positive planning framework to support limited housing growth in the neighbourhood area is one of the key considerations for the NDP.
- 4.2 The minimum housing growth required in the Group Parish is set out in the Herefordshire Local Plan Core Strategy Policies RA1 and RA2. Policy RA1 sets the housing growth figure for Ledbury rural Housing Market Area (within which Stretton Grandison Group Parish lies) as 14% of the number of dwellings in the Parish. **Taking into account existing commitments and completions since 2011 this equates to 18 dwellings over the Plan period up to 2031. (Check latest figures with HC)**
- 4.3 Policy RA2 identifies the settlements where growth should be focussed (in Figures 4.14 and 4.15). The Policy sets out that *"Neighbourhood Development Plans will allocate land for new housing or otherwise demonstrate delivery to provide levels of housing to meet the various targets, by indicating levels of suitable and available capacity"*.
- 4.4 In Stretton Grandison Group Parish there are several identified settlements for new housing growth. These are:

Settlements which will be the main focus of proportionate housing development (Fig 4.14):

- Lower Eggleton and
- Fromes Hill.

Other settlements where proportionate housing is appropriate (Fig 4.15):

- Stretton Grandison,
- Canon Frome and
- Eggleton.

Identifying Settlement Boundaries

- 4.5 The identification of settlement boundaries is important because there is a presumption that most new development should be accommodated within these boundaries; outside these areas rural area policies will apply to planning applications and therefore opportunities for new development are very constrained. Core Strategy Policy RA3 sets out that in rural locations outside settlements, residential development will be limited to proposals that meet an agricultural or forestry need, support a rural enterprise, are replacement dwellings, involve the sustainable re-use of a redundant or disused building(s), are rural exception sites, are of an exception design or meets the need of gypsies or other travellers.
- 4.6 During the Issues and Options consultation local residents were invited to comment on options for proposed settlement boundaries for the settlements of Lower Eggleton, Fromes Hill, Stretton Grandison and Canon Frome. No settlement boundary was included for Eggleton (Upper Eggleton) as it was proposed by the Steering Group that there should be no new build housing development here (subject to consultation responses). **Please explain why - was it because eg the settlement is very fragmented and difficult to identify on a map? Or development considered unsuitable here for other reasons?** It was also made clear that if housing site allocations were included in the NDP, then the settlement boundaries would be extended to amended to include the proposed sites as well as any existing commitments.
- 4.7 There was a mixed response to the proposed settlement boundaries, but overall 61% or 72 respondents supported the proposed settlement boundaries, 27% (32) objected and 13% (15) respondents did not answer.
- 4.8 There were a notable number of further comments, expanding on the choices made. As well as more general statements on the approach being taken, there were detailed suggestions for boundary amendments (some of these were drawn on the draft settlement boundary maps included in the questionnaire). These included both enlarging and reducing the area within settlement boundaries.
- 4.9 The Steering Group have considered the comments submitted, together with the proposed Preferred Option Site Allocations and the Preferred Option Settlement Boundaries are identified on Maps 2, 3, 4 and 5 below. In doing so, the Steering Group has also considered Herefordshire Council's Neighbourhood Planning Guidance Note 21 Guide to site assessment and choosing allocation sites April 2013 - Revised June 2015.

Draft Policy SG1 Settlement Boundaries

New housing development will be supported within the identified settlement boundaries of Lower Eggleton, Fromes Hill, Stretton Grandison and Canon Frome as identified on Maps 2, 3, 4 and 5 where:

1. New developments, extensions and conversions are designed sensitively to respond to the setting of the site, and reflect the rural character of neighbouring buildings, using appropriate scale and materials;
2. Schemes incorporate modern and innovative designs including sustainable design technologies to maximise resource and energy efficiency;
3. Sites have suitable and safe access. The impact of additional traffic from development proposals on existing rural roadworks should be carefully

considered and suitable measures should be proposed to encourage appropriate traffic speeds;

4. Sufficient provision is made for adequate off-street parking where development is accessed from narrow rural roads;
5. Provision is made for food growing opportunities such as through community allotments or orchards and gardens;
6. Provision is made for the integration of information and communication technologies such as broadband and access to mobile telephone networks;
7. Anything else?

Prepare one map for each of these settlements.

Steering group please refer to comments - you will probably need a working session looking at each one - starting with the boundaries in the Issues and Options, adding in the Preferred Housing sites (once agreed) and commitments and making any amendments as required taking into account the many comments submitted.

Once you have mapped them you will need to go out and have a look to make sure they make sense "on the ground". Overall, they should be fairly tight eg you don't need to include extensive rear gardens - they can go around the backs of properties). Remember new development would be supported in principle in all areas within the settlement boundaries.

Identifying Preferred Option Housing Sites

- 4.10 Stretton Grandison Group Parish Council undertook a 'call for sites' exercise which concluded on the 1st March 2018. 12 sites were submitted for consideration within the Neighbourhood Area. In addition, one site was submitted to the Hertfordshire Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA 2015) which has also been considered and a further late site was submitted in November 2018.
- 4.11 The submitted sites and SHLAA site were subjected to a technical assessment by consultants Aecom and the findings are set out in the Stretton Grandison Group Neighbourhood Plan Site Assessment Report, August 2018 (revised November 2018?) which is published on the Site Assessment pages of the NDP website. The work undertaken was agreed with the Group and the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (MHCLG) in May 2018. The purpose of the site appraisal was to produce a clear assessment of whether the identified sites are appropriate for the allocation of housing in the NDP, in particular whether they comply with both the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and the strategic policies of the adopted Core Strategy (2015). The neighbourhood planning site selection process, aided by this report, should be robust enough to meet the Basic Conditions considered by the Independent Examiner, as well as any potential legal challenges by developers and other interested parties.

- 4.12 The report set out that development may be appropriate in all five settlements identified in the Core Strategy (including Upper Eggleton which was excluded from the Issues and Options consultation) - see Map 6 below.

Map 6 Settlements in Fig 2 Site Assessment Report




Figure 2. Rural Areas Settlement Hierarchy (Core Strategy Policy RA2)

- 4.13 The 14? submitted sites are provided in Table 1 below:

Table 1 Submitted Sites - Insert Revised Table with Sites 13 and 14

Reference	Site Name/Address	Gross Site Area (ha)	Indicative Housing Capacity
Site 1	Land at Townsend Barns, Stretton Grandison	0.4	5
Site 2	Land at The Hill Farm, Fromes Hill	1.6	38
Site 3	Grain Store, Canon Frome	0.75	20
Site 4	Land at The Bramleys, Castle Frome	0.27	7
Site 5	Land at Vicarage Cottage, Canon Frome	0.1	3
Site 6	Land at The Barn, Canon Frome	0.19	5
Site 7	Rochester House, Canon Frome	0.68	5* (net gain of 4)
			*Landowners information
Site 8	Canon Frome Court, Canon Frome	16	8*
		*Entire site, not area of site proposed for development	*Landowners information
Site 9	Land east of Gospel Ash, Fromes Hill	0.76	20
Site 10	Former Orchard area adjacent School Cottages, Stretton Grandison	0.21	6
Site 11	Land east of A417 (south), Stretton Grandison	3.97**	90**
		**Landowner has shown the extent of ownership and AECOM has drawn a site boundary for the purpose of this assessment	
Site 12	Land north of A4103, Eggleton	0.68**	16**
		**Landowner has shown the extent of ownership and AECOM has drawn a site boundary for the purpose of this assessment	

- 4.14 Table 4 in the Site Assessment Report provides a 'traffic light' rating for each site, indicating whether the site is appropriate for allocation. Red indicates the site is not appropriate for allocation within the NDP. Green indicates the site is appropriate for allocation within the NDP with no or few constraints. Amber indicates that the site is potentially appropriate for allocation within the NDP subject to the mitigation of various constraints. Following an initial sifting process **five sites were discontinued due to conflict with the Herefordshire Local Plan Core Strategy: these were Sites 2, 3, 4, 7 and 9.**

Development Strategy

- 4.15 The Site Assessment Report sets out options for how the housing target of at least 18 homes could be distributed through the Neighbourhood Area; one option could be to allocate all of the housing on one site and another option is to distribute the growth across a number of sites.
- 4.16 The advantages of concentrating growth on one site relate primarily to planning gain including the provision of affordable housing; Core Strategy Policy H1 requires developments of more than 10 units (with a combined gross floor space of more than 1,000m²) to provide 40% affordable housing⁵. If one site was allocated for 18 homes it would exceed this threshold and could deliver approximately 7 affordable homes, subject to viability. There is also greater potential when concentrating growth in one larger site for the delivery of community benefits such as public open space, but these opportunities would depend on the specific site and scheme.
- 4.17 **On the basis of the available information it is considered that there are two sites which could each individually accommodate the total housing requirement for the SGG NDP: Site 11 and Site 13. Both Site 11 and Site 13 have been identified as greenfield, with minor constraints that need further consideration or mitigation.**
- 4.18 Alternatively, the Parish Council could decide to split the minimum 18 units required across a number of smaller sites across the Neighbourhood Area. The allocation of a number of smaller sites across the area may reduce the visual impact and highways network impact because the scale of each allocation would be smaller, and the impacts spread across a wider area. There would be less opportunity to capture planning gain through Section 106 Agreements on smaller sites where financial viability may be more of an issue. However, if this approach is preferred the provision of Affordable Housing could be addressed through the inclusion of Site 8 as a site allocation for Affordable Housing in the NDP.
- 4.19 **On the basis of the available information it is considered that there are 6 sites which could in combination accommodate the total housing requirement for the SGG NDP: Site 1, Site 5, Site 6, Site 8, Site 10 and Site 12 with a total indicative capacity of 43 dwellings. Site 8 is proposed for Affordable Housing so would not be included in the 18 minimum requirement as this is for market housing. All of these sites have been identified as amber, with minor constraints that need further consideration or mitigation.**

Issues and Options Consultation Responses

- 4.20 The response to the Issues and Options consultation showed that 47% of respondents (56) supported the principle of new housing sites in all of the identified settlements but 33% (39)

⁵ See Appendix 1 for NPPF definition of Affordable Housing

did not answer or had no opinion. The most popular areas for concentrating development were Lower Eggleton (12% or 14 respondents), and Fromes Hill (10% or 12 respondents): this corresponds with the settlements' identification as the main focus for housing development in the Core Strategy. Preferences for the other settlements were fairly evenly split: Stretton Grandison and Canon Frome were both supported by 4% (5) respondents and Eggleton (Upper Eggleton) by 3% (3). 3% also chose "none of the settlements".

- 4.21 Residents were also asked to give their preference for settlements where development should take place by ranking them. This question was answered by 58 respondents (49% of all respondents). Other respondents either did not answer the question (33% or 39) or said they had no choice or preference (18% or 22). Where a selection was made, the balance of opinion favoured Lower Eggleton and Fromes Hill. These were the first or second choices for 29% and 26% of all respondents respectively, with Canon Frome having the next highest combined score at 16%. In terms of reasons given for choices, the selection of Lower Eggleton and Fromes Hill reflected their main road, accessible locations. For the lower-order preferences, settlements were felt to already have enough (or too many) houses.
- 4.22 The consultation asked residents about the scale of individual housing schemes. Looking at the positive scores, half of respondents (50% or 59 respondents) thought that up to 10 houses would be acceptable, and just under a third (30% or 36) wanted to see no more than 2 homes. Few supported the option of up to 20 houses in their village (6%), and this had the highest 'no' score at 50%. Only 2% (2 respondents) supported provision of all the housing on one large development, 56% (67) wanted to see a number of smaller developments (eg <10 houses) and 76% (90) supported individual released plots. In addition, 54% (64) supported garden infill development.
- 4.23 The Steering Group has considered the findings of the Site Assessment Report, and local residents' responses to the Issues and Options and it is proposed that the following sites are consulted upon as Preferred Option Sites:

I would recommend including consultation on all the suitable sites identified in the Site Assessment at this stage and then using the results of the consultation to justify which of the sites should be included in the Reg 14 NDP. We can revise these as required following consideration of the revised site assessment report. This is the simplest way forward as you would not have to justify an alternative approach yourselves. In the consultation you could ask local residents to choose eg

Option A - 1 Single Site allocation - either 11 or 13.

or

Option B - Several Smaller Sites and to rank them in order of preference.

However, this is a matter for the Steering Group. An alternative approach could be to discard the larger sites (11 and 13) on the basis of the responses to the Issues and Options ie local preference for smaller sites. However, it would be hard to discount Site 13 as this is in Fromes Hill - one of the most popular areas for new development (26%). And if you kept the Fromes Hill one in, you could be criticised for not keeping in site 11 at this stage. Therefore, you have to have really good reasons to discount any at this stage and the response to the Issues and Options almost 2 years ago may not be enough.

Draft Policy SG2 Preferred Option Housing Sites

The following sites are considered suitable for new housing development:

Site 1 Land at Townsend Barns, Stretton Grandison (5 houses)

Key Issues for Criteria:

- A new access would be required from the A417 to the site.
- There is limited potential for protected species given that the site is a working agricultural field.
- There are listed buildings in close proximity to the site and any development would need to consider their impact on these heritage assets and their settings.

Site 5 Land at Vicarage Cottage, Canon Frome (3 dwellings)

Key Issues for Criteria:

- There is an access to the site from the highway which runs adjacent to the south boundary of the site. This would need to be upgraded to provide a suitable access for residential development.
- There is some potential for protected species given the presence of hedgerows and vegetation at the boundaries and within the site.

Site 6 Land at The Barn, Canon Frome (5 dwellings)

Key Issues for Criteria:

- There is an access to the site from the highway which runs adjacent to the south boundary of the site. This would need to be upgraded to provide a suitable access for residential development.
- There is some potential for protected species given the presence of hedgerows and vegetation at the boundaries and within the site.

Site 10 Land east of A417 (north), Stretton Grandison (Former Orchard area adjacent School Cottages, Stretton Grandison) (6 dwellings)

Key Issues for Criteria:

- There is no existing access to the site but there is potential for a suitable access to be provided to the site.
- There is some potential for protected species given the presence of hedgerows and vegetation within the site.
- There are heritage assets in close proximity to the site, any future development would need to be sensitive to the surrounding heritage context.

Site 11 Land east of A417 (south), Stretton Grandison (90 dwellings)**Key Issues for Criteria**

- There is an informal access to the site at the northern boundary of the site. There is potential for a suitable access to be provided to the site.
- There is limited potential for protected species given that the site is a working agricultural field.
- The site is open with wide and long views to and from the surrounding areas. The site is considered to be of medium to high sensitivity.
- There are heritage assets in close proximity to the site, any future development would need to be sensitive to the surrounding heritage context.
- This site is extensive and development of only part of the site would provide the 18 dwellings - if included further work would be required with the landowner to identify the most suitable area.

Site 12 Land north of A4103, Eggleton (16 dwellings)**Key Issues for Criteria:**

- Each parcel would require its own new or upgraded access; whilst there is potential for suitable accesses the Highways Authority may have some concern about the number of individual accesses on to the road.
- There is some potential for protected species given the presence of hedgerows at the boundary of the site.
- There are listed buildings to the south east and south west of the site; any development would need to consider the relationship with the heritage assets.
- The individual parcels that front the A4103 are of a scale that is in keeping with the existing urban grain.

Site 13 - Insert

These sites are identified on Maps 2, 3 4 and 5.

- 4.24 Following consideration of the results of the consultation the Steering Group will recommend to the Parish Council which of the Preferred Option Sites should be retained as site allocations in the Draft NDP to support the delivery **of at least 18 dwellings up to 2031.**

Affordable Housing - Site 8 Canon Frome Court, Canon Frome (8 dwellings)

- 4.25 In addition to the above Preferred Option Sites which would provide at least 18 units of market housing, Site 8 was submitted for consideration as a "rural exception site" to deliver an Affordable Housing scheme. Site 8 is not located within the settlement of Canon Frome and its allocation for market housing would be contrary to Policies SS1, SS2, SS6, RA2 and RA3 of the Core Strategy which seek to protect the intrinsic character and beauty of the countryside. However, Core Strategy Policy H2 supports proposals for rural exception sites which could apply to this site.

Draft Policy SG3 Affordable Housing at Canon Frome Court (8 units)

A scheme for the provision of affordable housing at Canon Frome Court will be supported where:

1. Development is sympathetic to the setting and character of the Grade II listed building at Canon Frome Court;
2. Development protects and enhances any protected species and habitats linked to the presence of hedgerows, vegetation and empty buildings within the site; and
3. Development is for one and two bedroom properties to meet local needs.

Development is supported for both the conversion of existing redundant buildings and / or the construction of new buildings within the curtilage of Canon Frome Court, provided such development protects and enhances the setting of the heritage assets on the site.

Housing Mix

- 4.26 The Herefordshire Local Housing Market Assessment (2012 Update)⁶ sets out that in the rural areas of Herefordshire there is a greater need for more smaller properties such as 1, 2 and 3-bedroom houses, and a much lower requirement for 4 + bedroom houses (see Table 3 p16). In addition, para 1.14 advises that *"there is a strong retirement market within the County with older households moving to the County as it is an attractive place to live. This is evident in each of the HMAs, but appears strongest in the Ledbury and Ross-on-Wye HMAs."*
- 4.27 The report also highlights in para 1.18 that *"for the affordable sector, over the longer-term just under two-thirds of housing requirements are expected to be one- and two-bedroom properties to meet housing needs."*
- 4.28 The responses to the consultation on Issues and Options confirmed that there is a local preference for smaller and more affordable housing.

⁶ https://www.herefordshire.gov.uk/downloads/file/1644/local_housing_market_assessment_2013

- 4.29 When asked for views on the style of housing development, over three-quarters of respondents supported: designs to respect the scale and character of surrounding property; two-storey homes; period style with use of traditional materials; and a high level of energy conservation. Further comments raised a range of issues, including a need for affordable housing; for a community orchard/allotment; and that new homes should be a mix of house types and architectural styles. Some of these comments have been used to inform Policy SG1 above.
- 4.30 In terms of house sizes, starter homes (2 bedrooms) were supported by 76% (90 respondents) and family homes (3 or more bedrooms) were supported by 79% (94 respondents).
- 4.31 There was also support for housing for older people and those with particular needs; adapted/easy access homes (eg bungalows) were supported by 61% (73) respondents and supported housing/retirement homes were supported by 39% (46) respondents. In addition, living/working properties (small scale enterprise located within or adjacent to the home) were supported by 51% (961 respondents) and 63% (75) wanted to see homes for local people/people with local connections. Much less popular were executive homes (4 or more bedrooms); these were supported by only 23% (27 respondents).
- 4.32 The further comments raised a wide range of issues. A theme was to make housing available to meet the needs of local people, both young wishing to stay and older wanting to downsize, rather than provide dormitory-style housing. This would point to affordable housing and smaller properties, on varying plot sizes to suit a variety of financial abilities to buy or rent. Other issues included the need for a mix of house types; impact of extra traffic; queries about the meaning of the word “local”; and that new housing should be sustainable.
- 4.33 In response to the question about whether the NDP should include a policy to guide new housing in the wider rural area, opinion was evenly split on this question, providing little in the way of guidance to policy makers. It is therefore proposed to leave this to the Core Strategy. Is this ok?
- 4.34 The responses to the Issues and Options consultation have been used to inform Draft Policy SG4 Housing Mix (in addition to Policy SG1 Settlement Boundaries).

Draft Policy SG4 Housing Mix

New residential development should demonstrate how it contributes to a suitable mix of tenure, type and size of dwelling across the Stretton Grandison Neighbourhood Area.

Proposals should demonstrate how they respond to local needs for medium sized family housing (up to three bedrooms), starter homes of one or two bedrooms, and housing designed for older people and those with particular needs.

Schemes that incorporate live / work accommodation will be particularly encouraged.

Any proposals which trigger the threshold for an affordable housing requirement should aim to integrate both the affordable housing and market housing across the site.

5.0 Protecting our Environment



Stretton Grandison Conservation Area from north

- 5.1 The Group Parish is located within a very rural area, with a high quality natural and built environment.

Natural Environment

- 5.2 The Stretton Grandison Neighbourhood Plan Area is within the Wye Valley AONB. Herefordshire Landscape Character Assessment SPG (2004 updated 2009)⁷ identifies that the Parish Area of Stretton Grandison has the following Landscape Types: Riverside meadows to the south of the parish, and principle settled farmlands and wooded forest to the central and north of the parish.

Riverside Meadows Character Description:

These are linear, riverine landscapes associated with a flat, generally well defined, alluvial floodplain, in places framed by steeply rising ground. They are secluded pastoral landscapes, characterised by meandering tree lined rivers, flanked by riverside meadows which are defined by hedge and ditch boundaries. Settlement is typically absent. Throughout these landscapes, the presence of extensive areas of seasonally grazed waterside meadows has in the past provided a strong sense of visual and ecological unity. These are landscapes that accommodate a degree of annual flooding, a factor which has been reflected in the traditional patterns of land use, the lack of settlement and development (except for the occasional water mill), and the representation of species and habitats tolerant of such waterlogged conditions. The natural fertility of Riverside Meadows has often been maximised by employing devices such as sluices to control and direct the silt laden flood waters. The unique Lammas Meadows bordering the River Lugg at Hereford are an excellent example of traditionally managed riverside meadows where the historic pattern of cutting and grazing has been continued for centuries. Tree cover is a notable element of Riverside Meadows, usually in a linear pattern along the hedge and ditch lines and to the banks of watercourses.

⁷

https://www.herefordshire.gov.uk/download/downloads/id/2069/landscape_character_assessment_for_herefordshire.pdf

Typically, species are alder and willow, the latter often pollarded. This Landscape Type is associated with large rivers, and in the case of Herefordshire, the Rivers Arrow, Clun, Frome, Leadon, Lodon, Lugg, Monnow, Teme and Wye.

Principle Settled Farmlands Character Description


The rolling, lowland area of Central Herefordshire is dominated by this Landscape Type. These are settled agricultural landscapes of dispersed, scattered farms, relic commons and small villages and hamlets. The mixed farming land use reflects the good soils on which they are typically found. Networks of small winding lanes nestling within a matrix of hedged fields are characteristic. Tree cover is largely restricted to thinly scattered hedgerow trees, groups of trees around dwellings and trees along stream sides and other watercourses. The composition of the hedgerow tree cover differs from that of Timbered Farmlands in its lower density and lack of oak dominance. This is a landscape with a notably domestic character, defined chiefly by the scale of its field pattern, the nature and density of its settlement and its traditional land uses. Hop fields, orchards, grazed pastures and arable fields, together make up the rich patchwork which is typical of Principal Settled Farmlands.

Wooded Forest Character Description

In Herefordshire this Landscape Type is only found in the Queen's Wood and Linton Wood area, near Upton Bishop. It represents the northern extent of a vast tract of Wooded Forest stretching across Gloucestershire and encompassing the Forest of Dean. This is a landscape dominated by dense tree cover, which effectively blocks all but immediate short distance views. It is essentially unsettled, although there are occasional wayside cottages, often with adjacent small fields of an assorted origin. This is an uncomplicated landscape where the unrelenting mass of woodland and restricted views creates a strong character which can feel overwhelmingly remote and confined. It is possible that the woodland may previously have been partially cleared for agricultural purposes at the height of agricultural expansion in the late 13th/ early 14th centuries. Any clearance would have been likely to be only of a piecemeal nature, with woodland re-colonisation following the contraction of the area of cultivated land after the Black Death. The relative lack of settlement reflects the absence of a prolonged period of agricultural activity, the occasional cottage probably relating to woodland occupations. The interdependence of the woodland and the charcoal and smelting industries has been a major factor in the survival of such a large concentration of forest. The woodlands are of ancient character and the whole woodland landscape is one of notable nature conservation value. The underlying impoverished sandy soils give rise to a heathy/acid grassland ground vegetation, which is of considerable botanical interest in its own right.

- 5.3 The local landscape character of the Neighbourhood Area is small scattered farmsteads and clustered settlements set within a rolling landscape of orchards, pasture, arable land and woodland. The patchwork of fields is defined by field boundaries of mature hedgerows rich with native species. The River Frome flows through the area and is fed by other smaller water courses. An important feature of the area is Homend Park, an old established parkland with a large number of mature oak trees.
- 5.4 The area also has several areas of biodiversity importance. There are 7 areas of ancient woodland in the Group Parish, 1 Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) at Birchend and 11 Special Wildlife Sites (SWS). These natural environmental assets are shown on Map 6.

Map 6 SEA Map 1



@Crown copyright and database rights [2015] Ordnance Survey 100055940
 Stretton Grandison Parish Council (Licensee) License number 0100058301

Issues and Options Consultation

- 5.5 The responses to the Issues and Options consultation demonstrated widespread local support for the NDP to include planning policies to protect local landscape character and biodiversity.
- 5.6 59% (70 respondents) identified improved measures for the conservation of historic or listed buildings and features as important, 76% (91) considered enhanced protection of the natural environment important and 62% (74) considered protection of views and vistas in and around the village and parish important. In the comments, Homend Park was listed many times as significant and trees, mature woodland, green lanes, old meadows and dark skies were all noted. In terms of built heritage, St Lawrence's Church and churchyard were also mentioned and specific views that were considered important included views to and around Stretton Grandison Church and views over the valley. Table 2 shows the features listed as important.

Table 2 Issues and Options - Important Landscape Features

Specific features	General features
Homend Park	Views – local and distant
Canon Frome Court parkland and trees	Parkland
Gains Hill monument	Woodland
Herefordshire – Gloucestershire Canal	Veteran trees
Childer Wood	Habitats and species of “principal importance” identified pursuant to s.41 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities (NERC) Act 2006
Hansnet Wood [borders the Neighbourhood Area]	Watercourses
Stanley Hill	Traditional orchards, meadows and pasture
Homend Bank/Stretton Coppice woodlands	Public rights of way
River Frome and floodplain	Green lanes
	Local churches and churchyard planting

- 5.7 Protecting dark skies was also an important issue for respondents, pointing to a policy to avoid light pollution and minimise light spillage.
- 5.8 These ideas and comments have been used to inform Draft Policy SG5 Protecting Local Landscape Character and Wildlife. The Policy sets out landscaping principles drawn from the Herefordshire Landscape Character Assessment SPG (2004 updated 2009).
- 5.9 This Policy provides more local detail to Core Strategy Policy LD1 Landscape and townscape.

Draft Policy SG5 Protecting Local Landscape Character and Wildlife

Development proposals will be required to respond positively to the characteristics of the Landscape Type in which they are located; riverside meadows to the south of the parish, and principle settled farmlands and wooded forest to the central and north of the parish.

Landscaping schemes in the riverside meadows landscape type should aim to conserve, restore and enhance continuous linear tree cover along hedge lines,

ditches and watercourses, conserve and restore wetland habitats and seek opportunities for further wetland habitat creation. Drainage of waterside meadows for new development will be resisted.

Landscaping schemes in the principle settled farmlands landscape type should conserve and enhance the hedgerow pattern and tree cover and wetland habitat along watercourses. The dispersed settlement pattern should be retained.

Landscaping schemes in the wooded forest landscape type should conserve all ancient woodland sites and restock with appropriate broadleaved species of local provenance, particularly favouring native oak.

Development should protect and enhance those features which contribute towards the special landscape character of the area. Such features include (but are not exclusive to) the following:

1. Historic parkland at Home End Park and Canon Frome Court, including isolated veteran trees and avenues of trees;
2. Areas of traditional native woodland and traditional orchards;
3. The route of the Herefordshire - Gloucestershire Canal;
4. Views towards St Lawrence's Church at Stretton Grandison **and other churches? Name? Steering Group - you probably need to do more work to justify this ie provide text to describe the view eg where it is from eg bench, footpath etc, direction and what it encompasses. Also, any other views? Take photos. Should also show on Map - Map 7. Also mention view to Woolhope Dome in Objectives.**

Where possible new development should incorporate appropriate local species and landscape designs to enhance local biodiversity such as planting hedgerows, using native tree species, incorporating ponds and providing bat and bird boxes.

Lighting schemes should be designed sensitively to reduce any adverse impact on wildlife and to protect the dark skies which are characteristic of this rural area.

Homend Park Proposed Local Green Space



West gateway to Homend Park

- 5.10 Although Homend Park is not a registered park and garden it has significant local historic interest and is highly valued by residents in the Group Parish as a recreational resource. The Homend was rebuilt in 1814-1821 to the design of Sir Robert Smirke, incorporating parts of earlier buildings. A park was laid out to the north-west with informal tree-planting and a lodge. There is a kitchen garden near the house. In about 1703 the existing half-timbered house was extended with a brick structure. The house was remodelled from 1814-21 by Sir Robert Smirke, and the park dates from the same period.⁸
- 5.11 NDPs can identify areas of land known as Local Green Space which is of particular local significance and which should be protected from new development in a similar way to Green Belt. The NDP has to set out clearly the justification for such areas, and this is set out in the NPPF:

100. The Local Green Space designation should only be used where the green space is:

- a) in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves;*
- b) demonstrably special to a local community and holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value (including as a playing field), tranquillity or richness of its wildlife; and*
- c) local in character and is not an extensive tract of land.*

Issues and Options Consultation

- 5.12 In the Issues and Options consultation Homend Park was identified by many respondents as an area which should be protected and where development should be resisted. It is noted that the park is of local historical significance both in original design, layout and local farming heritage. It has outstanding natural beauty, historic planting and vistas, it is valuable for

⁸ See Parks and Gardens UK

<http://www.parksandgardens.org/places-and-people/site/5702/summary>

access and recreation and is important for wildlife. It is also noted however that mature trees are dying out and need replacing.

- 5.13 The consultation invited comments on whether Homend Park should be identified for designation as a Local Green Space. Over half of all respondents identified Homend Park for protection on this basis (64 respondents, 54% of all respondents).
- 5.14 Other specific locations and areas suggested as candidates for protection as Local Green Spaces were: Canon Frome Court, green spaces and tree avenue; land adjoining St. Lawrence Church, Stretton Grandison (including pond); line of the Herefordshire – Gloucestershire Canal; Childer Wood; and Stanley Hill woodland. Several general features were also referred to in responses: all farmland; Fromes Hill; the churchyards; and local woodlands. Most of these other areas are identified in Draft Policy SG5 for protection, but it is proposed that due to the strength of local support for Homend Park, that this area should be protected as a Local Green Space. (OR What about any of the others? If so, can you justify them?)
- 5.15 The justification for designating Homend Park as a Local Green Space is provided below:
- Steering Group - please provide text:
- a) **Close Proximity to the Community It Serves**
- insert text
- b) **Demonstrably special**
- insert text - explain wildlife and historic significance, also recreational value. May have to do some research on heritage here.
- Could try and get a copy of A Survey of Historic Parks and Gardens in Herefordshire Record Id: 285 **Author:** Whitehead, David **Document Title:** A Survey of Historic Parks and Gardens in Herefordshire **Edition:** First **Publisher:** Hereford and Worcester Gardens Trust **Publication Date:** 2001 **ISBN:** 0 9531388 1 X **Document type:** Text **Physical resource type:** Book **Description:** A gazetteer of historic parks and gardens in Herefordshire.
- Also Pevsner, The Buildings of England, Herefordshire, Alan Brooks and Nicholas Pevsner, 1963, republished in 2012 p615 describes park as "delectable early 19th C park, crossed by the public road"
- c) **Local in Character and not an Extensive Tract of Land**
- Explain how enclosed area of parkland eg boundary walls, gates, approximate area (we can work that out if digitised on map base)
- You could refer to comments and responses to issues and options in more detail and add in local knowledge. The more the better.
- 5.16 The protection of Homend Park as a Local Green Space supports and provides more local detail to Core Strategy Policies LD1 Landscape and townscape, LD2 Biodiversity and geodiversity, LD3 Green infrastructure and LD4 Historic environment and heritage assets.

Draft Policy SG6 Homend Park Local Green Space

Homend Park is designated as a Local Green Space. The extent of the area is shown on Map 8 below.

Insert Map 8 - boundary of Homend Park.

Built Environment



House at Stretton Grandison

- 5.17 The Group Parish also has a wealth of built heritage assets. There are a 17 Listed Buildings and Scheduled Monuments in Stretton Grandison including Grade I Church of St Lawrence, 10 in Canon Frome including 2 scheduled monuments (roman settlement and fort), 11 Listed Buildings in Castle Frome including the Church of St Michael (Grade I) and Castle Frome Castle which is scheduled and 10 Listed Buildings in Eggleton (all Grade II). The complete list is provided in Appendix 2.
- 5.18 Stretton Grandison also has a Conservation Area (see Map 9). The Steering Group considers that the Conservation Area is already protected adequately by Herefordshire Council Core

Strategy Policy LD4 Historic environment and heritage assets and a specific policy is not required.

Map 9 Stretton Grandison Conservation Area



@Crown copyright and database rights [2015] Ordnance Survey 100055940
Stretton Grandison Parish Council (Licensee) License number 0100058301

- 5.19 Across the four settlements there is a variety of building styles. Materials used are Herefordshire sandstone, traditional red brick, black and white timber frames, Welsh slate or tiled roofs, thatched roofs, predominately two storey, detached farmhouses, smaller cottages mainly older and historic buildings with modern buildings reflecting the same style. There is a fairly dispersed settlement pattern with a high proportion of detached buildings set back from the roadside in large plots and densities are comparatively low, even within the settlements.
- 5.20 Steering Group - please describe in more detail each of the settlements - describe traditional and modern groups of buildings - size, height, whether set back in gardens or

terraced cottages, large farmhouses, materials etc. We need to insert these descriptions either in the main text or as an appendix if v detailed. Also take photos.

Lower Eggleton

Fromes Hill

Stretton Grandison

Canon Frome

Eggleton

Issues and Options Consultation

- 5.21 In the Issues and Options consultation residents were asked to identify any local built features which are distinctive in this area. In addition to important buildings, many of which are Listed, respondents noted hop kilns, red and Georgian brick, converted barns, stone and timber framed buildings (including some part stone, part timber framed) and estate houses. The use of slate and thatch in the area was also noted.
- 5.22 New development should be sensitively sited and designed so that it compliments existing settlements, is appropriate and enhances the local character of this very rural area.
- 5.23 There were also comments suggesting that it would be good to see some flexibility and innovation in approaches to design and red brick and slate could be restrictive in terms of energy efficiency and affordability. The NDP therefore does not aim to stifle contemporary designs but supports sustainable design and energy efficiency measures, provide these are designed sensitively,
- 5.24 NDP Draft Policy SG7 seeks to protect built heritage assets and requires development to be sensitive to its local context, and Draft Policy SG8 but supports sustainability principles and energy efficiency in new buildings. Policy SG7 supports and provide local context to Core Strategy policies LD1 Landscape and townscape and LD4 Historic environment and heritage assets. Policy SG8 provides local detail to Core Strategy Policies Strategy Policies SS1 Presumption in favour of sustainable development, SS7 Addressing climate change, SD1 Sustainable design and energy efficiency and SD2 Renewable and low carbon energy.

Draft Policy SG7 Design Principles - Protecting and Enhancing Heritage and Local Character

1. Historic buildings, structures, landscapes, and archaeological sites (whether inside or outside the Stretton Grandison conservation area) are protected according to their significance. Development proposals should conserve and enhance built heritage assets in the Group Parish.
2. Schemes should take account of known surface and subsurface archaeology and ensure unknown and potentially significant deposits are identified, recorded and appropriately considered during development.

3. Designs for development within the identified settlements should refer to the local character descriptions provided in **Paragraph 5.20 / Appendix X** and demonstrate how they have incorporated elements of the local vernacular into built form to ensure development is sensitive to local character and context.
4. Development should respond to the dispersed local settlement pattern, with buildings provided at low densities in large plots and set back from the road side with front gardens and on-site parking areas.
5. Development should respect the height, scale and proportions of surrounding buildings and the aim should be to successfully integrate new built form into the existing context rather than to dominate the local street scene.
6. New buildings should follow a consistent design approach in the use of materials, fenestration and the roofline to the building. Materials should be chosen to complement the design of a development and add to the quality or character of the surrounding environment.
7. Traditional materials such Herefordshire sandstone, traditional red brick, black and white timber frames, slate tiled roofs, thatched roofs should be used in new development, conversions and extensions wherever possible.
8. **Anything else?**

Draft Policy SG8 Design Principles - Promoting High Quality and Sustainable Design

1. New development proposals will be supported where they incorporate imaginative modern designs using high quality traditional materials such as local brick, stone and timber frames in innovative ways.
2. Contemporary designs and modern architectural approaches, including the use of innovative construction techniques to maximise resource and energy efficiency will be supported where they are of outstanding or innovative design and where they clearly demonstrate that they are appropriate to their context (as set out in Draft Policy SG7).
3. Where planning consent is required, small scale domestic renewable energy schemes such as solar panels, solar water heating and ground source heat pumps will be supported provided that schemes are sited and designed to be unobtrusive.

4. Proposals for new development should aim to promote walking and cycling and public transport by linking to existing routes and facilities where possible and including suitable storage provision for bicycles.
5. Anything else?

6.0 Local Economy



Farm at Upper Eggleton

- 6.1 The Group Parish has a number of local businesses which provide a diverse range of employment opportunities. The main local employment is agriculture. Other employment areas include farm diversification businesses, tourist accommodation, nursery school, dog kennels, blacksmith and other small businesses some run from home.
- 6.2 There is a need to support investment in local businesses to help provide increased employment opportunities in the area so that it continues to be a thriving living and working environment.

Agricultural Buildings

- 6.3 Farming practices continue to undergo rapid change and in recent years there have been pressures for larger, industrial sized agricultural units for intensive livestock rearing and increases in the extent and size of polytunnels and glass houses. Not all such development requires planning permission (ie new development under given size thresholds) but the NDP should guide new development when planning consent is required.
- 6.4 At the same time older, traditional farm buildings have become disused and redundant and offer opportunities for sensitive re-use and conversion to small workshops and offices etc.
Steering group please can you provide any recent examples of successful conversions eg the Stables (conference facilities / meeting room), any others? What about holiday accommodation?
- 6.5 The NDP has a role in seeking to manage these changes and to ensure that the re-use of existing agricultural buildings and the development of new large scale buildings are undertaken sensitively.

Re-Using existing Buildings - Issues and Options Consultation

Photos please

- 6.6 The re-use of redundant farm buildings was considered in the consultation on Issues and Options. Respondents identified the following as potentially suitable uses for existing former agricultural buildings:
- Small-scale offices/business units
 - Rural craft workshops
 - Live/work units
 - Rural services and businesses to support local community
 - Cafes Short-term holiday lets
 - Housing Provision of premises at end of apprenticeships to help young people with business start-ups and accommodation
- 6.7 However the following were identified as potential issues and concerns which should be addressed in a planning policy in the NDP:
- Safe access and visibility at junctions
 - Traffic generation on rural lanes
 - Provision of off-road parking
 - Pollution, noise, and odour
 - Environmentally sustainable and sensitive to local environment (eg dark skies, vistas)
 - Refurbishment in character and scale with existing, using traditional materials
 - Protect existing trees and hedgerows and need for landscaping
 - Protect residential amenity against impacts through noise, contamination or storage of waste materials
- 6.8 Draft Policy SG9 supports the sensitive and suitable conversion of former agricultural buildings for new economic uses subject to locally important criteria. This Policy has been prepared to add more local detail to Core Strategy Policies RA5 Re-use of rural buildings and RA6 Rural economy.

Draft Policy SG9 Re-Use of Former Agricultural Buildings for Local Economic Development

Proposals for the sensitive conversion of redundant former agricultural buildings for suitable business uses such as offices, workshops, cafes and visitor accommodation will be supported provided that:

1. Development proposals can demonstrate safe access from existing rural roads and do not generate unacceptable levels of traffic on country lanes from employees, customers and delivery vehicles;
2. Sufficient car parking is provided on site for employees and customers;
3. Suitable measures are taken to protect the amenity of occupiers of nearby residential properties and to minimise or otherwise mitigate disturbance, noise, odours, lighting or other pollution;

4. Adequate screening is provided by landscaping and existing important local landscape features such as hedge rows and mature trees are protected and incorporated into schemes.

5. Anything else?

New Large Scale Agricultural Buildings - Issues and Options Consultation

Photos please

- 6.9 New agricultural buildings were also considered in the consultation on Issues and Options. The NDP provides an opportunity to prepare a policy which requires new large agricultural buildings to be sited and designed as sensitively as possible to reduce industrialisation of the landscape. This is important as the area has a distinctive landscape character as described in more detail in Section 5 and local residents clearly want to see this special character protected.
- 6.10 Large scale agricultural buildings are a contentious issue locally; the responses to several of the questions under other topics also included comments about modern agricultural practices including polytunnels and largescale intensive animal production - both supporting and objecting to them.
- 6.11 Residents' concerns related to traffic generation, parking, visual and landscape impacts, energy efficiency, pollution including light pollution and the need to minimise other environmental impacts. Other responses suggested that the NDP should not include such a policy. The responses have been used to inform Draft Policy SG10 to guide new large scale agricultural buildings.
- 6.12 Policy SG10 has been prepared to add more local detail to Core Strategy Policy LD1 Landscape and townscape.

Draft Policy SG10 New Agricultural Buildings and Poly Tunnels

1. Large agricultural buildings, polytunnels and other rural business buildings which require planning consent will be supported in fields and agricultural holdings where there would not be significant visual intrusion within the landscape, and any impacts are satisfactorily reduced by landscaping schemes.
2. Development proposals should give careful consideration to potential impacts in terms of noise, odour and light which might be detrimental to the enjoyment of the area by neighbouring residents and should be sited to minimise any such impacts on local residential amenity.
3. New agricultural and commercial buildings and structures should be sited and designed sensitively to minimise visual and landscape impacts through

appropriate screening and landscaping. Larger buildings should be “broken up” using a change in materials, colour or ridge height. Where possible natural materials such as wood and neutral, earth tones should be used to help blend large buildings into the countryside and landscape.

4. Applicants will be required to demonstrate that the vehicular means of access and local highway network (in terms of design and capacity) are adequate to cater for increases in traffic generation in terms of numbers and types of vehicles.
5. Designs should incorporate energy and resource efficiency measures and minimise light pollution to protect dark skies.

Anything else?

Local Energy Schemes

- 6.13 NDPs are required to promote sustainable development and there is an increasingly pressing need for policies and plans to contribute towards energy efficiency and carbon reduction measures to address climate change. Small scale community led renewable energy schemes can help to improve security of energy supply and often provide an income stream to support other community projects. **A working group is being set up to explore local interest - any progress on this?**
- 6.14 A Ministerial Statement⁹ has set out that suitable areas for wind energy development will need to have been allocated clearly in a Local or Neighbourhood Plan. A site allocation for wind turbines could prove controversial, but a criteria based policy which supports other forms of community energy schemes, subject to sensitive siting, landscaping and design may be appropriate.
- 6.15 Community energy projects¹⁰ have an emphasis on local engagement, local leadership and control and the local community benefiting collectively from the outcomes. Examples of community energy projects include:
- Community-owned renewable electricity installations such as solar photovoltaic (PV) panels, wind turbines or hydroelectric generation.
 - Members of the community jointly switching to a renewable heat source such as a heat pump or biomass boiler.
 - A community group supporting energy saving measures such as the installation of cavity wall or solid wall insulation.
 - Working in partnership with the local Distribution Network Operator (DNO) to pilot smart technologies.
 - Collective purchasing of heating oil for off gas-grid communities

⁹ <https://www.parliament.uk/documents/commons-vote-office/June%202015/18%20June/1-DCLG-Planning.pdf>

¹⁰ See "What is Community Energy?"

<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/community-energy#what-is-community-energy>

- Collective switching of electricity or gas suppliers.

6.16 The NPPF para 151. sets out that local planning authorities should support community-led initiatives for renewable and low carbon energy, including developments outside areas identified in local or strategic plans that are being taken forward through neighbourhood planning. **Core Strategy Policies**

Issues and Options Consultation

- 6.17 This issue was explored in the consultation on Issues and Options. 66% of respondents (79) supported such a policy in the NDP. The balance of opinion favoured solar and biomass over water and wind power. Further comments were generally supportive of renewable energy generation and of locally-owned/community schemes. There was some scepticism of the viability of wind and water as power sources in the locality. The overall sentiment was that renewable energy schemes of a suitable scale to use local resources and meet local energy needs should be encouraged.
- 6.18 Draft Policy SG11 supports community energy schemes provided any adverse visual and landscape impacts are minimised. This provides more local detail to Core Strategy Policies SD1 Sustainable design and energy efficiency and SD2 Renewable and low carbon energy.

Draft Policy SG11 Community-Led Renewable Energy Schemes

Community-led initiatives for renewable and low carbon energy schemes will be supported, provided that any adverse landscape and visual impacts (including cumulative impacts) are mitigated and, where this is not possible, minimised.

7.0 Flooding

- 7.1 Flooding is a significant issue in some parts of the Group Parish. The areas at greatest risk of fluvial (watercourse) flooding are shown on Map 10.

Map 10 SEA Map 2



@Crown copyright and database rights [2015] Ordnance Survey 100055940
 Stretton Grandison Parish Council (Licensee) License number 0100058301

- 7.2 Steering group please provide some more detail about this ie recent flood events - where, when, what sort of flooding (surface water, rivers overflowing etc)

Issues and Options Consultation

- 7.3 In the Issues and Options consultation, 73 respondents (61% of all respondents) commented that they were aware of areas where flooding is a problem. Most often mentioned was the River Frome and floodplain (14% of respondents) but a variety of other locations were also referred to where flooding was linked to low-lying land, local watercourses and field run-off. Where respondents noted that their own properties had been affected, the most common cause cited was field run off (13% of respondents) followed by road run-off (drains/ditches unable to cope) (9%). Flooding from rivers, streams sewers were less common occurrences.
- 7.4 Policy SG12 guides development to areas of lower risk of flooding. This supports Core Strategy Policies SS1 Presumption in favour of sustainable development, SS7 Addressing climate change, SD3 Sustainable water management and water resources and SD4 Waste water treatment and river water quality.

Draft Policy SG12 Reducing Flood Risk

In areas where fluvial or surface water flood risk is a known issue, proposals will be resisted unless suitable mitigation can be provided which does not exacerbate run off elsewhere. Wherever possible, development proposals should seek to provide a betterment.

Development proposals will be required to provide effective surface water drainage measures to protect existing and future residential areas from flooding. New development should be designed to maximise the retention of surface water on the development site and to minimise runoff.

8.0 Promoting Sustainable Communities

- 8.1 The Parish Council's key aim for the NDP is to support and promote sustainable communities, and sustainability was a strong theme emerging from the Issues and Options consultation. The NPPF sets out that in paragraph 7 that 'the purpose of the planning system is to contribute to the achievement of sustainable development.'
- 8.2 The consultation on Issues and Options asked about what aspects of community living are needed to ensure that our parish thrives as a place in which to live, work and play over the next 20 years and beyond?
- 8.3 The question was answered by 117 respondents (98% of all respondents). The priority issue was attracting younger people to the Area. Over three-quarters of respondents selected this option, with limited levels of opposition or 'don't know'. This was followed by a recognition of the need for more sustainable means of travel, with less reliance on the car; by the need to build energy-efficient homes; and by increasing community facilities. Enabling the use of land for residents to grow their own food was of lowest priority, but still supported by over half of all respondents.
- 8.4 Further comments to this question identified many additional aspects of community life as needing addressing. In summary, these were:
- Public transport
 - Facilities for walking or cycling
 - Mains gas supply
 - Broadband, to enable home working
 - Village hall for Stretton Grandison
 - Building materials – embodied energy and sustainability
 - Affordable housing, owned and rented, for low income rural workers
 - Local employment
 - Flood control
 - Traffic speed control and enforcement
 - Support and companionship for the elderly
 - Public house
- 8.5 The Stretton Grandison NDP aims to incorporate as many of these ideas into planning policies as possible. For example support for more affordable and locally suitable housing is provided in housing policies, supporting walking and cycling, links to public transport and energy efficiency are promoted in the settlement boundary and sustainable design policies and support for local employment is promoted in economic policies. There are some areas that the NDP cannot address through planning policies such as the provision of mains gas and broadband; these are subject to other decisions and funding and tend to be delivered at a strategic level (for instance through schemes such as "Fastershire" or other?).
- 8.6 Traffic management, parking and speeding are also not planning policy matters but projects and proposals may continue to be promoted by the Parish Council, using the many comments and suggestions put forward by respondents in the Issues and Options consultation as evidence.

- 8.9 What about village hall and pub - do you want a policy supporting these or do they seem too unlikely? Steering group please insert a sentence or 2 about what you want to do about this or if you don't then explain why.

9.0 Next Steps

- 9.1 The Draft NDP will be published for informal consultation until XX.
- 9.2 Following this the Plan will be revised and published for a period of formal consultation (Regulation 14) for at least 6 weeks.

Appendix 1 Definition of Affordable Housing

NPPF

Annex 2: Glossary

Affordable housing: housing for sale or rent, for those whose needs are not met by the market (including housing that provides a subsidised route to home ownership and/or is for essential local workers); and which complies with one or more of the following definitions:

a) **Affordable housing for rent:** meets all of the following conditions: (a) the rent is set in accordance with the Government's rent policy for Social Rent or Affordable Rent, or is at least 20% below local market rents (including service charges where applicable); (b) the landlord is a registered provider, except where it is included as part of a Build to Rent scheme (in which case the landlord need not be a registered provider); and (c) it includes provisions to remain at an affordable price for future eligible households, or for the subsidy to be recycled for alternative affordable housing provision. For Build to Rent schemes affordable housing for rent is expected to be the normal form of affordable housing provision (and, in this context, is known as Affordable Private Rent).

b) **Starter homes:** is as specified in Sections 2 and 3 of the Housing and Planning Act 2016 and any secondary legislation made under these sections. The definition of a starter home should reflect the meaning set out in statute and any such secondary legislation at the time of plan-preparation or decision-making. Where secondary legislation has the effect of limiting a household's eligibility to purchase a starter home to those with a particular maximum level of household income, those restrictions should be used.

c) **Discounted market sales housing:** is that sold at a discount of at least 20% below local market value. Eligibility is determined with regard to local incomes and local house prices. Provisions should be in place to ensure housing remains at a discount for future eligible households.

d) **Other affordable routes to home ownership:** is housing provided for sale that provides a route to ownership for those who could not achieve home ownership through the market. It includes shared ownership, relevant equity loans, other low cost homes for sale (at a price equivalent to at least 20% below local market value) and rent to buy (which includes a period of intermediate rent). Where public grant funding is provided, there should be provisions for the homes to remain at an affordable price for future eligible households, or for any receipts to be recycled for alternative affordable housing provision, or refunded to Government or the relevant authority specified in the funding agreement.

Appendix 2 Listed Buildings

See Historic England: <https://historicengland.org.uk/listing/the-list/>

Stretton Grandison Parish

17 results.

[NEWHOUSE](#)

Heritage Category: Listing

Grade: II

Location: NEWHOUSE, Stretton Grandison, County of Herefordshire

[BRINSOP COTTAGE](#)

Heritage Category: Listing

Grade: II

Location: BRINSOP COTTAGE, STRETTON GRANDISON, Stretton Grandison, County of Herefordshire

[CHURCH COTTAGE](#)

Heritage Category: Listing

Grade: II

Location: CHURCH COTTAGE, STRETTON GRANDISON, Stretton Grandison, County of Herefordshire

[HOMES MEMORIAL APPROXIMATELY 3 METRES SOUTH OF SOUTH PORCH OF CHURCH OF ST LAWRENCE](#)

Heritage Category: Listing

Grade: II

Location: HOMES MEMORIAL APPROXIMATELY 3 METRES SOUTH OF SOUTH PORCH OF CHURCH OF ST LAWRENCE, STRETTON GRANDISON, Stretton Grandison, County of Herefordshire

[BARN APPROXIMATELY 10 METRES NORTH-WEST OF STRETTON GRANGE](#)

Heritage Category: Listing

Grade: II

Location: BARN APPROXIMATELY 10 METRES NORTH-WEST OF STRETTON GRANGE, STRETTON GRANDISON, Stretton Grandison, County of Herefordshire

[BARN APPROXIMATELY 50 METRES EAST OF TOWN'S END](#)

Heritage Category: Listing

Grade: II

Location: BARN APPROXIMATELY 50 METRES EAST OF TOWN'S END, STRETTON GRANDISON, Stretton Grandison, County of Herefordshire

[THE FORGE](#)

Heritage Category: Listing

Grade: II

Location: THE FORGE, Stretton Grandison, County of Herefordshire

[PARK VIEW COTTAGE](#)

Heritage Category: Listing

Grade: II

Location: PARK VIEW COTTAGE, Stretton Grandison, County of Herefordshire

[BARN ON SOUTH SIDE OF BRINSOP COTTAGE](#)

Heritage Category: Listing

Grade: II

Location: BARN ON SOUTH SIDE OF BRINSOP COTTAGE, STRETTON GRANDISON, Stretton Grandison, County of Herefordshire

[CHURCH OF ST LAWRENCE](#)

Heritage Category: Listing

Grade: I

Location: CHURCH OF ST LAWRENCE, STRETTON GRANDISON, Stretton Grandison, County of Herefordshire

[TOWN'S END AND ADJOINING GARDEN WALL TO NORTH-WEST](#)

Heritage Category: Listing

Grade: II

Location: TOWN'S END AND ADJOINING GARDEN WALL TO NORTH-WEST, STRETTON GRANDISON, Stretton Grandison, County of Herefordshire

[FILLINGS BRIDGE](#)

Heritage Category: Listing

Grade: II

Location: FILLINGS BRIDGE, 1, FILLINGS BRIDGE, Stretton Grandison, County of Herefordshire

[STRETTON COURT](#)

Heritage Category: Listing

Grade: II

Location: STRETTON COURT, STRETTON COURT, Stretton Grandison, County of Herefordshire

[HOMEND COTTAGES](#)

Heritage Category: Listing

Grade: II

Location: HOMEND COTTAGES, 1, Stretton Grandison, County of Herefordshire

[THE HOMEND](#)

Heritage Category: Listing

Grade: II

Location: THE HOMEND, Stretton Grandison, County of Herefordshire

[MILESTONE AT SO 634435](#)

Heritage Category: Listing

Grade: II

Location: MILESTONE AT SO 634435, A 417, Stretton Grandison, County of Herefordshire

[BARN AND ADJOINING STABLE APPROXIMATELY 20 METRES SOUTH-EAST OF BRINSOP COTTAGE](#)

Heritage Category: Listing

Grade: II

Location: BARN AND ADJOINING STABLE APPROXIMATELY 20 METRES SOUTH-EAST OF BRINSOP COTTAGE, STRETTON GRANDISON, Stretton Grandison, County of Herefordshire

Canon Frome Parish

10 results.

[BARN APPROXIMATELY 15 METRES NORTH-WEST OF REDCASTLE FARMHOUSE](#)

Heritage Category: Listing

Grade: II

Location: BARN APPROXIMATELY 15 METRES NORTH-WEST OF REDCASTLE FARMHOUSE, Canon Frome, County of Herefordshire

[BARN APPROXIMATELY 30 METRES SOUTH-SOUTH-EAST OF SOUTHFIELD FARMHOUSE](#)

Heritage Category: Listing

Grade: II

Location: BARN APPROXIMATELY 30 METRES SOUTH-SOUTH-EAST OF SOUTHFIELD FARMHOUSE, Canon Frome, County of Herefordshire

[BARN APPROXIMATELY 30 METRES SOUTH-WEST OF WHITE HOUSE](#)

Heritage Category: Listing

Grade: II

Location: BARN APPROXIMATELY 30 METRES SOUTH-WEST OF WHITE HOUSE, Canon Frome, County of Herefordshire

[CHURCH OF ST JAMES](#)

Heritage Category: Listing

Grade: II

Location: CHURCH OF ST JAMES, CANON FROME, Canon Frome, County of Herefordshire

[STABLES APPROXIMATELY 20 METRES NORTH-WEST OF REDCASTLE FARMHOUSE](#)

Heritage Category: Listing

Grade: II

Location: STABLES APPROXIMATELY 20 METRES NORTH-WEST OF REDCASTLE FARMHOUSE, Canon Frome, County of Herefordshire

[CANON FROME COURT](#)

Heritage Category: Listing

Grade: II

Location: CANON FROME COURT, CANON FROME, Canon Frome, County of Herefordshire

[WHITE HOUSE](#)

Heritage Category: Listing

Grade: II

Location: WHITE HOUSE, Canon Frome, County of Herefordshire

[HOLDINGS COTTAGE](#)

Heritage Category: Listing

Grade: II

Location: HOLDINGS COTTAGE, Canon Frome, County of Herefordshire

[Roman settlement](#)

Heritage Category: Scheduling

Grade:

Location: Canon Frome, County of Herefordshire

[Roman fort and outworks 550yds \(500m\) SW of Canon Frome Court](#)

Heritage Category: Scheduling

Grade:

Location: Canon Frome, County of Herefordshire

Castle Frome Parish

11 results found.

[OLD BIRCHEND](#)

List Entry Number: 1098866

Heritage Category: Listing

Grade: II

Location: OLD BIRCHEND, Castle Frome, County of Herefordshire

[THE WOVERNS](#)

List Entry Number: 1098867

Heritage Category: Listing

Grade: II

Location: THE WOVERNS, Castle Frome, County of Herefordshire

[MILESTONE AT SO 662468](#)

List Entry Number: 1098868

Heritage Category: Listing

Grade: II

Location: MILESTONE AT SO 662468, B 4214, Castle Frome, County of Herefordshire

CHURCH OF ST MICHAEL

List Entry Number: 1098869

Heritage Category: Listing

Grade: I

Location: CHURCH OF ST MICHAEL, CASTLE FROME, Castle Frome, County of Herefordshire

THE STEPPES

List Entry Number: 1098870

Heritage Category: Listing

Grade: II

Location: THE STEPPES, FROMES HILL, Castle Frome, County of Herefordshire

NEWHOUSE

List Entry Number: 1156990

Heritage Category: Listing

Grade: II

Location: NEWHOUSE, Castle Frome, County of Herefordshire

THE MARTINS

List Entry Number: 1349197

Heritage Category: Listing

Grade: II

Location: THE MARTINS, Castle Frome, County of Herefordshire

BARN ABOUT 25 METRES SOUTH EAST OF OLD BIRCHEND FARMHOUSE

List Entry Number: 1349216

Heritage Category: Listing

Grade: II

Location: BARN ABOUT 25 METRES SOUTH EAST OF OLD BIRCHEND FARMHOUSE, Castle Frome, County of Herefordshire

CHURCH HOUSE

List Entry Number: 1349217

Heritage Category: Listing

Grade: II

Location: CHURCH HOUSE, CASTLE FROME, Castle Frome, County of Herefordshire

[THE TOWN](#)

List Entry Number: 1349218

Heritage Category: Listing

Grade: II

Location: THE TOWN, CASTLE FROME, Castle Frome, County of Herefordshire

[Castle Frome Castle](#)

List Entry Number: 1001739

Heritage Category: Scheduling

Location: Castle Frome, County of Herefordshire

Eggleton Parish

10 results found.

[GROVE FARMHOUSE](#)

List Entry Number: 1098871

Heritage Category: Listing Grade: II

Location: GROVE FARMHOUSE, Eggleton, County of Herefordshire

[LOWER EGGLETON COURT](#)

List Entry Number: 1098872

Heritage Category: Listing Grade: II

Location: LOWER EGGLETON COURT, LOWER EGGLETON, Eggleton, County of Herefordshire

[BARN APPROXIMATELY 20 METRES SOUTH-EAST OF UPPER EGGLETON COURT](#)

List Entry Number: 1098874

Heritage Category: Listing Grade: II

Location: BARN APPROXIMATELY 20 METRES SOUTH-EAST OF UPPER EGGLETON COURT, UPPER EGGLETON, Eggleton, County of Herefordshire

[BARN APPROXIMATELY 8 METRES WEST OF BLACKWAY HOUSE](#)

List Entry Number: 1157023

Heritage Category: Listing Grade: II

Location: BARN APPROXIMATELY 8 METRES WEST OF BLACKWAY HOUSE, BLACKWAY, Eggleton, County of Herefordshire

[HOUSE APPROXIMATELY 50 METRES NORTH-WEST OF LOWER EGGLETON COURT](#)

List Entry Number: 1157026

Heritage Category: Listing Grade: II

Location: HOUSE APPROXIMATELY 50 METRES NORTH-WEST OF LOWER EGGLETON COURT, LOWER EGGLETON, Eggleton, County of Herefordshire

MOOR COURT

List Entry Number: 1157031

Heritage Category: Listing Grade: II

Location: MOOR COURT, UPPER EGGLETON, Eggleton, County of Herefordshire

UPPER EGGLETON COURT

List Entry Number: 1157035

Heritage Category: Listing Grade: II

Location: UPPER EGGLETON COURT, UPPER EGGLETON, Eggleton, County of Herefordshire

TAPPER MEADOW COTTAGE

List Entry Number: 1253153

Heritage Category: Listing Grade: II

Location: TAPPER MEADOW COTTAGE, Eggleton, County of Herefordshire

OUTBUILDING APPROXIMATELY 5 METRES EAST OF GROVE FARMHOUSE

List Entry Number: 1349179

Heritage Category: Listing Grade: II

Location: OUTBUILDING APPROXIMATELY 5 METRES EAST OF GROVE FARMHOUSE, Eggleton, County of Herefordshire

PULLENS COTTAGE

List Entry Number: 1349180

Heritage Category: Listing Grade: II

Location: PULLENS COTTAGE, LOWER EGGLETON, Eggleton, County of Herefordshire



Stretton Grandison Neighbourhood Plan Working Group
On behalf of Stretton Grandison Group Parish Council